

# Determination of radioactivity levels of soil samples and the excess of lifetime cancer risk in Rize province, Turkey

S. Dizman<sup>1</sup>, F.K. Görür<sup>2\*</sup>, R. Keser<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Abant İzzet Baysal University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Physics, 14280, Bolu, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Physics, 53100, Rize, Turkey

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Turkey, especially the northern part of it, was one of the countries which were contaminated by the Chernobyl accident. Rize is a city located in the Northeastern district of Turkey which was heavily influenced by the Chernobyl nuclear accident. **Materials and Methods:** In this study, the activity concentrations of natural (<sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K) and artificial (<sup>137</sup>Cs) were measurements in soil samples collected from 132 different points in Rize province of Turkey using gamma spectrometry with a high-purity germanium detector. **Results:** The average activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K were found to be 85.75±11.77, 51.08±9.42, and 771.57±37.65 Bq/kg in soil samples, respectively. In order to evaluate the radiological hazard of the natural radioactivity, radium equivalent activity ( $Ra_{eq}$ ), representative level index ( $I_{vr}$ ), the external hazard index ( $H_{ex}$ ), the total absorbed dose rate (D), the annual effective dose equivalent (AEDE) and excess life time cancer risk (ELCR) have been calculated and compared with the internationally approved values. **Conclusion:** The outdoor air absorbed dose rates (D) due to terrestrial gamma rays for soil have been calculated because of agricultural area and living in the surrounding. It is important to determine background radiation level in order to evaluate the health hazards. Annual effective gamma doses and the lifetime risks of cancer were higher than the world's average. Moreover compared to the World's average, the lifetime risk of cancer doubled for most of the localities.

**Keywords:** Radioactivity, soil, lifetime cancer risk, gamma dose.

## ► Original article

### \*Corresponding author:

Dr. F. Korkmaz Görür,

Fax: +90 374 253 46 42

### E-mail:

filizkorkmaz@yahoo.com

Revised: Sept. 2015

Accepted: Nov. 2015

Int. J. Radiat. Res., July 2016;  
14(3): 237-244

DOI: 10.18869/acadpub.ijrr.14.3.237

## INTRODUCTION

Human beings are exposed to background radiation that stems both from natural and man-made sources. Natural background radiation, which is equivalent to 2.4 mSv per person, makes up approximately 80% of the total radiation dose a person is exposed in a year <sup>(1)</sup>. Soil radionuclide activity concentration is one of the main determinants of the natural background radiation. Volcanic geographic structures as well as rocks that are rich in phosphate, granite and salt contain natural radionuclides like <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K. When rocks are disintegrated through natural

processes, radionuclides are carried to the soil by rain and flows <sup>(2)</sup>.

The natural radioactivity in soil comes from <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and from natural <sup>40</sup>K. Some other terrestrial radionuclides, including those of the <sup>235</sup>U series, <sup>87</sup>Rb, <sup>138</sup>La, <sup>147</sup>Sm and <sup>176</sup>Lu exist in nature but at such low levels that their contributions to the dose in the humans are small. Artificial radionuclides can also be present such as <sup>137</sup>Cs, resulting from fallout from weapons testing. <sup>137</sup>Cs is a fission product which is formed through nuclear tests and accidents. The deposition of <sup>137</sup>Cs in soil is important since its half-life is 30.2 years and it has a gamma emission of 661 keV <sup>(3)</sup>. The radiological

implication of these radionuclides is due to the gamma-ray exposure of the body and irradiation of lung tissue from inhalation of radon and its daughters. Therefore, the assessment of gamma radiation dose from natural sources is of particular importance as natural radiation is the largest contributor to the external dose of the world population (4). Natural environmental radioactivity and the associated external exposure due to gamma radiation depends primarily on the geological and geographical conditions, and appear at different levels in the soils of each region in the world (5).

Turkey, especially the northern part of it, was one of the countries which were contaminated by the Chernobyl accident (6). Rize is a city located in the Northeastern district of Turkey which was heavily influenced by the Chernobyl nuclear accident. The radioactive plume from the accident reached Turkey by 5 May 1986, substantially contaminating various regions and ecosystems of the country. During the emergency, Cekmece Nuclear Research and Training Center (CANEM) performed an analysis of various substances. In their report, it has been noted that the surface soil <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentration of the eastern part of the Black Sea mountains was around 4000–4500 Bq/kg at the 0.5cm soil in the year 1988 (7).

It is critical to evaluate soil radioactivity in order to understand background radiation

concentrations. Measuring terrestrial gamma dose rates is also essential since gamma radiation provides information concerning excess lifetime cancer risks. Yet in Turkey, there are only a limited number of studies which evaluate soil radioactivity and terrestrial gamma dose rates (8-25).

The aim of this study is to determine natural (<sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K) and artificial (<sup>137</sup>Cs) radioactivity levels in soil collected from different points in Rize province of Turkey. Also, the average radium equivalent activity ( $R_{eq}$ ), representative level index ( $I_{yr}$ ), the external hazard index ( $H_{ex}$ ), the total absorbed dose rate (D), the annual effective dose equivalent (AEDE) and excess life time cancer risk (ELCR) which will be defined later have been calculated and compared with the results in literature. The results of this study will provide background data on the natural and artificial radioactive isotopes and environmental pollution.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study area

Rize is a province of north-east Turkey, on the eastern Black Sea coast (figure 1). Rize stands between the latitudes of 40°-20' and 41°-20' N and the longitudes of 40°-22' and 41°-28' E. Rize has a catchment area of 3920 km<sup>2</sup>. It is on the




Figure 1. Location of sampling sites indicating the Rize Province, Turkey.

north side of the range of mountains that run along the Black Sea coast. Overlooking the sea this is the wettest corner of Turkey and Rize is the country's largest producer of tea. Summers are cool (July average 22°C), winters are warm (January average 7°C) and it is wet all year round. It has twelve district areas. The population of Rize is 361353 <sup>(26)</sup>.

### Sampling and sample preparation

Soil samples were collected from 12 locations of the study area during the year 2010. In all a total of 132 samples were analyzed. After clearing the ground of stones, pebbles, vegetation and roots, 1–2 kg of material from the first 10 cm of topsoil was placed in labeled polythene bags and then transferred to the laboratory. The samples were dried at 60 °C for 48 h, grained, passed through 2 mm sieves. The dried samples then were homogenized and weighed and transferred into uncontaminated empty cylindrical plastic containers of uniform size. The samples were weighed and stored for a minimum period of one month to allow daughter products to come into radioactive secular equilibrium with their parents <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th and then were counted for 50.000–100.000 s depending on the concentration of the radionuclides.

### Experimental method for $\gamma$ - spectroscopy

The radiation levels of samples were analyzed using gamma spectrometry, which was equipped with a 55% efficiency high purity germanium (HPGe) detector and a multi-channel analyzer. The gamma spectra were analyzed by using the ORTEC Maestro 32 data acquisition and analysis system. The detector had coaxial closed-facing geometry with the following specifications: resolution full width half maximum (FWHM) at 122 keV <sup>57</sup>Co was 1.00 keV and at 1.33MeV <sup>60</sup>Co was 1.90 keV. The detector was shielded by a cylindrical lead shield, which had average thickness of 10 cm in order to achieve a background level as low as possible.

Efficiency of the detector was determined with a <sup>152</sup>Eu source (Amersham Company, UK) of known activity. <sup>152</sup>Eu source have been widely

used for calibration and efficiency determination due to their large range of energies (122, 244, 344, 411, 443, 779, 964, 1112 and 1408 keV) with emission probabilities of 3-29 % <sup>(27, 28)</sup>. An ideal measuring geometry of cylindrical source (homogeneously distributed activity with constant volume and distance) was placed coaxially with the detector for the efficiency determination and the same procedure applied for the sample measurements.

Soil samples were placed symmetrically on top of the detector and measured for a period of 100.000 s. The net area under the corresponding peaks in the energy spectrum was computed by subtracting counts due to Compton scattering of higher peaks and other background sources from the total area of the peaks. From the net area of a certain peak, the activity concentrations in the samples were obtained using equation 1:

$$C(\text{Bq/kg}) = \frac{C_n}{\epsilon P_\gamma M_s} \quad (1)$$

where C is the activity concentration of the radionuclide in the sample given in Bq/kg,  $C_n$  is the count rate under the corresponding peak,  $\epsilon$  is the detector efficiency at the specific  $\gamma$ -ray energy,  $P_\gamma$  is the absolute transition probability of the specific  $\gamma$ -ray, and  $M_s$  is the mass of the sample (kg).

For the measurement of <sup>226</sup>Ra activity concentration, the  $\gamma$ -ray energies of 295.21 and 351.92 keV of <sup>214</sup>Pb, 609.31 keV of <sup>214</sup>Bi were used. The activity concentration of <sup>232</sup>Th was determined at the  $\gamma$ -ray energies 911.07 keV and 969.11 keV of <sup>228</sup>Ac, <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>137</sup>Cs were measured directly from the 1460.8 keV and 661.66 keV peak energies, respectively <sup>(29,30)</sup>. <sup>40</sup>K activity determined from the 1460.7 keV emission gamma-lines and <sup>137</sup>Cs activity determined from the 661.1 keV emission gamma-lines.

### Calculation of the radiological effects

The activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>137</sup>Cs measured in each of the soil samples. Radium equivalent activity is a widely used hazard index and it is calculated through the relation given by Beretka and Mathew <sup>(31)</sup>. It is assumed that 370 Bq/kg of <sup>226</sup>Ra, 259 Bq/kg of

<sup>232</sup>Th and 4810 Bq/kg of <sup>40</sup>K produce the same gamma-ray dose rate

$$Ra_{eq} = A_{Ra} + 1.43A_{Th} + 0.077A_K \quad (2)$$

where A<sub>Ra</sub>, A<sub>Th</sub> and A<sub>K</sub> are the activity concentration of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K in Bq/kg, respectively.

Another radiation hazard index called the representative level index, I<sub>yr</sub>, is defined from the following formula<sup>(32, 33)</sup>:

$$I_{yr} = \frac{1}{150Bq/kg} A_{Ra} + \frac{1}{100Bq/kg} A_{Th} + \frac{1}{1500Bq/kg} A_K \quad (3)$$

where A<sub>Ra</sub>, A<sub>Th</sub>, and A<sub>K</sub> have the same meaning as in equation 2.

The external hazard index, H<sub>ex</sub> was calculated for the investigated samples using the model proposed by Krieger<sup>(34)</sup> assuming thick walls without windows and doors, where the external hazard index is given by

$$H_{ex} = A_{Ra}/370 + A_{Th}/259 + A_K/4810 \leq 1 \quad (4)$$

where A<sub>Ra</sub>, A<sub>Th</sub> and A<sub>K</sub> are the activity concentration of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K in Bq/kg, respectively. The calculated average external hazard index was found to be less than unity.

The absorbed dose rate, D (nGy/h) in air at 1 m above ground level due to the presence of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>137</sup>Cs in the soil samples at each site was calculated using the following equation 5<sup>(5)</sup>,

$$D = aC_{Ra} + bC_{Th} + cC_K + dC_{Cs} \quad (5)$$

where a is the dose rate per unit <sup>226</sup>Ra activity concentration (4.27x10<sup>-10</sup> Gy/h/Bq/kg), C<sub>Ra</sub> is the concentration of <sup>226</sup>Ra in the sample (Bq/kg), b is the dose rate per unit <sup>232</sup>Th activity concentration (6.62x10<sup>-10</sup> Gy/h/ Bq/kg), C<sub>Th</sub> is the concentration of <sup>232</sup>Th in the sample (Bq/kg), c is the dose rate per unit <sup>40</sup>K activity concentration (0.43x10<sup>-10</sup> Gy/h/ Bq/kg), C<sub>K</sub> is the concentration of <sup>40</sup>K in the sample (Bq/kg), d is the dose rate per unit <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentration (0.30 x10<sup>-10</sup> Gy/h/ Bq/kg) and C<sub>Cs</sub> is the concentration of <sup>137</sup>Cs in the sample (Bq/kg). The absorbed dose rate (nGy/h) in air at 1 m above the ground determined at each farm does not directly give the radiological hazard to which an individual is exposed.

The annual effective dose equivalent (AEDE) was calculated by using equation 6:

$$AEDE (\mu Sv/y) = D \times DCF \times OF \times T \quad (6)$$

where D is absorbed dose rate in air (nGy/ h), DCF is dose conversion factor (0.7 Sv/Gy) , OF is outdoor occupancy factor (0.2), T is the time (8760 h/y)<sup>(5)</sup>.

Excess life time cancer risk (ELCR) was calculated by using equation 7:

$$ELCR = AEDE \times DL \times RF \quad (7)$$

where DL is duration of life (70 years) and RF is risk factor (Sv<sup>-1</sup>). For stochastic effects, ICRP 90 uses values of 0.05 for the public<sup>(29)</sup>.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of activity concentrations in the soil samples from twelve different sites are given in table 1 for the natural radionuclides of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K and the artificial radionuclide of <sup>137</sup>Cs. Radium equivalent activity (Ra<sub>eq</sub>), representative level index, external hazard index, absorbed dose rates, annual effective doses and the excess lifetime risks of cancer in soil samples are given in table 2.

The concentrations found in the present study ranged from 48.54±6.30 (İyidere district) to 163.14±21.29 (Kalkandere district) Bq/kg for <sup>226</sup>Ra, from 19.58±4.01 (Hemşin district) to 125.53±22.74 (Güneysu district) Bq/kg for <sup>232</sup>Th, from 302.40±15.48 (Güneysu district) to 1159.51±61.06 (Hemşin district) Bq/kg for <sup>40</sup>K. The average activity concentrations of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K were found to be 85.75±11.77, 51.08±9.42, and 771.57±37.65 Bq/kg in soil samples, respectively. The activity of <sup>40</sup>K is seen to be higher than <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th in all the selected soil samples. The world's mean values of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K activity concentrations are 32, 45 and 420 Bq/kg, respectively<sup>(5)</sup>. The mean values of <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K are higher than the world's average values.

<sup>137</sup>Cs does not exist in soil naturally. It is a product of fallout radioactivity. The <sup>137</sup>Cs might have been deposited in soil of study area, presumably as a result of the nuclear power

plant accident at Chernobyl on 26 April 1986. Moreover, measured  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity concentrations can be attributed to the atmospheric nuclear weapon tests conducted by several countries.  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activities in soil samples varied from  $75.80\pm 6.30$  (İyidere district) to  $481.81\pm 30.07$  (Güneysu district) Bq/kg and average  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity was found to be  $236.38\pm 13.49$  Bq/kg.

As shown in table 3, the radioactivity concentrations in soil samples were comparable

to other studies in various regions (8-25,35-40). Karadeniz et al., Tabat et al., Kiliç et al., Kam and Bozkurt, Celik et al. determined slightly lower activity concentrations of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  compared to this study (8,12,17,19,25). Merdanoğlu and Altınsoy et al., Orgun et al. and Abbaspour et al. determined a higher activity concentrations of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  compared to this study (13,20,35).  $^{40}\text{K}$  activity concentrations of Rize were also higher compared to studies conducted at other parts of Turkey (8, 12, 14-17,19, 21, 22).

**Table 1.** Radioactivity concentrations of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{40}\text{K}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  in soil samples.

	Number of sampling	$^{226}\text{Ra}$ (Bq/kg)	$^{232}\text{Th}$ (Bq/kg)	$^{40}\text{K}$ (Bq/kg)	$^{137}\text{Cs}$ (Bq/kg)
Rize Centrum	12	66.50±11.54	48.97±8.29	842.38±45.64	240.32±6.99
Derepaşarı district	12	79.40±12.53	44.49±8.40	876.98±45.70	118.26±5.11
Ardeşen district	12	58.19±11.37	24.29±6.10	654.25±38.54	244.15±6.82
Pazar district	12	65.57±11.11	28.29±5.72	645.94±35.27	374.18±7.78
Fındıklı district	12	50.99±11.44	26.59±6.94	627.95±41.15	322.84±8.65
İkizdere district	10	92.28±9.65	47.25±5.43	871.72±34.11	87.68±4.99
Kalkandere district	12	163.14±21.29	89.57±17.32	708.62±33.82	376.69±18.18
Çayeli district	12	149.64±22.06	68.24±15.54	634.78±36.35	317.58±37.31
Çamlıhemşin district	8	67.86±10.82	24.71±7.39	810.78±28.21	82.49±9.73
Hemşin district	8	101.17±14.46	19.58±4.01	1159.51±61.06	114.78±20.00
Güneysu district	10	85.67±10.34	125.53±22.74	302.40±15.48	481.81±30.07
İyidere district	12	48.54±6.30	65.40±5.20	1123.54±36.57	75.80±6.30
Total district	132	85.75±11.77	51.08±9.42	771.57±37.65	236.38±13.49

**Table 2.** Radium equivalent, representative level index, external hazard index, absorbed dose rates, annual effective doses and the excess lifetime risks of cancer in soil samples in Rize.

	$\text{Ra}_{\text{eq}}$ (Bq/kg)	$I_{\text{yr}}$	$H_{\text{ex}}$	D (nGy/h)	AEDE (mSv/y)	Life time total dose(mSv)	ELCR ( $\times 10^{-3}$ )
Rize Centrum	201.39	1.49	0.54	104.25	0.127	7.30	0.45
Derepaşarı district	210.55	1.56	0.57	104.61	0.128	7.32	0.45
Ardeşen district	143.30	1.07	0.39	76.38	0.093	5.35	0.33
Pazar district	155.76	1.15	0.42	85.73	0.105	6.00	0.37
Fındıklı district	137.37	1.02	0.37	76.06	0.093	5.32	0.33
İkizdere district	226.97	1.67	0.61	110.80	0.135	7.76	0.48
Kalkandere district	345.79	2.46	0.93	170.73	0.209	11.95	0.73
Çayeli district	296.10	2.10	0.80	145.89	0.178	10.21	0.63
Çamlıhemşin district	165.63	1.24	0.45	82.67	0.101	5.79	0.35
Hemşin district	218.45	1.64	0.59	109.46	0.134	7.66	0.47
Güneysu district	288.46	2.03	0.78	147.14	0.180	10.30	0.63
İyidere district	228.57	1.73	0.62	114.61	0.140	8.02	0.49
Total district	218.20	1.60	0.59	110.69	0.136	7.75	0.48
World <sup>(5)</sup>	-		<1	60	0.070	4.90	0.29



**Table 3.** <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>137</sup>Cs activity concentrations, absorbed dose rates (D), annual effective dose equivalents (AEDE) and excess lifetime risks of cancer (ELCR) in various studies.

Area	<sup>226</sup> Ra (Bq/kg)	<sup>232</sup> Th (Bq/kg)	<sup>40</sup> K (Bq/kg)	<sup>137</sup> Cs (Bq/kg)	D (nGy/h)	AEDE (μSv/y)	ELCR (x10 <sup>-3</sup> )
Rize (Present study)	85.75	51.08	771.57	236.38	110.69	136	0.48
Kırklareli <sup>(14)</sup>	37	40	667	8	71	87	0.51
Manisa <sup>(12)</sup>	-	27	340	-	54	66	-
Istanbul <sup>(16)</sup>	-	37	342	1.8-81	49	65	-
Marmara <sup>(17)</sup>	22.5	26.6	443	0.9-154	44.73	54.86	-
Kestanbol <sup>(18)</sup>	130.93	192	1207	0.37-36	219	269	-
Kastamonu <sup>(19)</sup>	37.4	27.17	431.43	8.02	52.76	65	-
Çanakkale <sup>(20)</sup>	-	204.69	1171	0-6.57	178-448	-	-
Kazdağ <sup>(8)</sup>	21.7	21.1	297.5	0.1-28	44	67	-
Sanliurfa <sup>(22)</sup>	-	24.95	298.6	9.08	38.24	60.09	-
Firtına <sup>(23)</sup>	15-116	10-105	105-1235	19-232	77.4	-	-
Eastern Black Sea <sup>(24)</sup>	12-120	40.9	622.8	169.7	77.18	93.30	-
Giresun <sup>(25)</sup>	33	43	733	318	-	92	-
Iran <sup>(35)</sup>	1188	64.92	545.10	10.41	612.37	750	0.30
India <sup>(36)</sup>	57.34	52.83-105.81	95.33-160.30	-	72.35-108.65	72.7-133.2	-
China <sup>(37)</sup>	-	71.5	672	-	124	152	-
India <sup>(39)</sup>	50.58	63.13	268.92	-	66.89	490	-
Nigeria <sup>(40)</sup>	-	-	1190	1	52-414	200	-
Worldwide <sup>(5)</sup>	35	45	420	-	60	70	0.25

The values of  $R_{a_{eq}}$  varied from 137.37 to 345.79 Bq/kg and average value of  $R_{a_{eq}}$  was found to be 218.20 Bq/kg. The estimated average values of  $R_{a_{eq}}$  in the present work are lower than the recommended maximum value of 370 Bq/kg<sup>(31)</sup>. On comparing the measured mean values from some of the other studies, it is observed that value of this work is higher than the measured values of 166.3 Bq/kg in Firtına Valley<sup>(23)</sup>. The values of representative level index,  $I_{\gamma r}$  varied from 1.02 to 2.46 and average value of  $I_{\gamma r}$  was found to be 1.60.

The values of external hazard index,  $H_{ex}$  range from 0.37 to 0.93 and average value was found to be 0.59 for the soil samples. The maximum value of  $H_{ex}$  must be less than unity. All values estimated of  $H_{ex}$  in the present work are lower than unity. The average values of  $H_{ex}$  were found to be 0.50 for India, 0.45 for Firtına Valley of Turkey and 0.84 for Xiazhuang Granite Area (China)<sup>(36,23,37)</sup>.

The absorbed dose rates in air for soil samples the average dose rate was 110.69 nGy/h in Rize. The average D value for soil was

calculated as 71, 77.4, 77.18, 44, 178-448 nGy/h in Kırklareli, in Adana, in Çanakkale, in Firtına Valley, in Eastern Black Sea, respectively<sup>(14,20,21,23,24)</sup>. The population weighted values give an average absorbed dose rate in air outdoors from terrestrial gamma radiation of 60 nGy/h<sup>(5)</sup>. This reveals that the mean absorbed dose rate in air outdoors from Rize areas is almost two times higher than that of the worldwide average value.

As shown in table 2 and 3, the calculated values of annual effective dose for the all soil samples ranged from 93 to 209 μSv/y, with a mean of 136 μSv/y, which is higher than the world average value of 70 μSv/y<sup>(5)</sup>. So, the obtained values are higher than the world average value. The average AEDE value was calculated to be 87 μSv/y in Kırklareli, 66 μSv/y in Manisa, 65 μSv/y in the Istanbul (Turkey), 60.09 μSv/y in Sanliurfa<sup>(14-16,22)</sup>. These average values are generally lower than our result.

As shown in table 2, when life expectancy was taken as 70 y, the average life time total gamma radiation was calculated as 7.75 mSv, which yielded a lifetime cancer risk of  $0.48 \times 10^{-3}$ . The

world's mean value of life time total gamma dose and the excess lifetime cancer risk are 4.90 mSv and  $0.29 \times 10^{-3}$ , respectively (5). The mean of life time total gamma dose and the excess lifetime cancer risk observed in this study are higher than the world's mean values. The average ELCR value was calculated to be  $0.5 \times 10^{-3}$  in Kırklareli,  $0.26 \times 10^{-3}$  in western Mazandaran (Iran) (14,35). Yet, due to the unavailability of related mortality and morbidity statistics, the health hazards of the assessed values on the population were not calculated. Therefore, this study was limited to background radiation levels.

## CONCLUSION

The obtained data cover a wide area in Rize. The mean concentrations of the radionuclides  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$  in soil samples determined in this study compare suitably with literature values. But the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity concentrations in some places are higher than the other results. This can be attributed to the Chernobyl nuclear power plant accident and the atmospheric nuclear weapon tests conducted by several countries. From the measured values, the average values of radium equivalent activity ( $R_{\text{eq}}$ ), representative level index ( $I_{\text{yr}}$ ), external hazard index ( $H_{\text{ex}}$ ), absorbed dose rate in air (D), annual effective dose equivalent (AEDE) and the excess life time cancer risk (ELCR) were calculated. The outdoor air absorbed dose rates (D) due to terrestrial gamma rays for soil have been calculated because of agricultural area and living in the surrounding. It is important to determine background radiation level in order to evaluate the health hazards. Annual effective gamma doses and the lifetime risks of cancer were higher than the world's average. Moreover compared to the World's average, the lifetime risk of cancer doubled for most of the localities.

**Conflict of interest:** Declared None

## REFERENCES

- IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) (1996) Technical Report Series 96-00725, Vienna, Austria.
- NCRP (National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurement) (1975) Natural background radiation in the U.S., Soil Radioactivity, No. 45.
- Teodere DF and Edwards JN (1993) Housing, stres and well-being, evidence from Thailand. *Soc Sci Med*, **36**: 1417–1428.
- UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation) (1988) Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation, United Nations, New York.
- UNSCEAR (2000) Sources and effects of ionizing radiation, Vol. 1. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. Report of the General Assembly with Scientific Annexes. United Nations, New York.
- TAEK (1998) Türkiye'de Çernobil sonrası Radyasyon ve Radyasyon çalışmaları, TAEK report, April 1998.
- Unlu MY, Topcuoglu S, Kucukcezzar R, Varinlioglu A, Gungor N, Bulut AM, Gungor E (1995) Natural effective half-life of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  in tea plants. *Health Phys*, **65**: 94–99.
- Karadeniz O, Karakurt H, Akal C (2015) Natural radionuclide activities in forest soil horizons of Mount İDA/Kazdağı, Turkey. *Environ Monit Assess*, **187**(6): 319.
- Agar O, Boztosun I, Korkmaz ME, Ozman SF (2014) Measurement of Radioactivity Levels and Assessment of Radioactivity Hazards of Soil Samples in Karaman, Turkey. *Radiat Prot Dosim*, **162**: 630-637.
- Yıldız N, Oto B, Turhan Ş, Uğur FA, Gören E (2014) Radionuclide determination and radioactivity evaluation of surface soil samples collected along the Erçek Lake basin in eastern Anatolia, Turkey. *J Geochem Explor*, **146**: 34-39.
- Damla N and Aldemir K (2014) Radon survey and soil gamma doses in primary schools of Batman, Turkey. *Isotopes Environ Health*, **50**: 226-234.
- Tabar E, Kumru MN, Ichedef M, Sac MM (2013) Radioactivity level and the measurement of soil gas radon concentration in Dikili geothermal area, Turkey. *Int J Radiat Res*, **11**(4): 253-261.
- Keser R, Gorur FK, Akcay N, Okumusoglu NT (2011) Radionuclide concentration in tea, cabbage, orange, kiwi and soil and lifetime cancer risk due to gamma radioactivity in Rize, Turkey. *J Sci Food Agr*, **91**: 987-991.
- Taskin H, Karavus M, Ay P, Topuzoglu A, Hidiroglu S, Karahan G (2009) Radionuclide concentrations in soil and lifetime cancer risk due to gamma radioactivity in Kırklareli, Turkey. *J Environ Radioactiv*, **100**: 49–53.
- Erees FS, Akozcan S, Parlak Y, Çam S (2006) Assessment of dose rates around Manisa (Turkey). *Radiat Meas*, **41**: 598–601.
- Karahan G and Bayulken A (2000) Assessment of gamma dose rates around Istanbul (Turkey). *J Environ Radioact*, **47**: 213–221.
- Kiliç O, Belivermis M, Topcuoglu S, Cotuk Y, Coskun M, Cayir A, Kuçer R (2008) Radioactivity concentrations and dose assessment in surface soil samples from east and south of Marmara Region, Turkey. *Radiat Prot Dosim*, **128** (3): 324-330.
- Merdanoglu B and Altınsoy N (2006) Radioactivity

- concentrations and dose assessment for soil samples from Kestanol granite area, Turkey. *Radiat Prot Dosim*, **121**: 399–405.
19. Kam E and Bozkurt A (2007) Environmental radioactivity measurements in Kastamonu region of northern Turkey. *Appl Radiat Isot*, **65**: 440–444.
  20. Orgun Y, Altinsoy N, Sahin SY, Gungor Y, Gultekin AH, Karahan G, Karacik Z (2007) Natural and anthropogenic radionuclides in rocks and beach sands from Ezine region (Canakkale), Western Anatolia, Turkey. *Appl Radiat Isot*, **65**: 739–747.
  21. Degerlier M, Karahan G, Ozger G (2008) Radioactivity concentrations and dose assessment for soil samples around Adana, Turkey. *J Environ Radioact*, **99**: 1018–1025.
  22. Bozkurt A, Yorulmaz N, Kam E, Karahan G, Osmanlioglu AE (2007) Assessment of environmental radioactivity for Sanliurfa region of southeastern Turkey. *Radiat Meas*, **42**: 1387–1391.
  23. Kurnaz A, Kucukomeroglu B, Keser R, Okumusoglu NT, Korkmaz F, Karahan G, Cevik U (2007) Determination of radioactivity levels and hazards of soil and sediment samples in Firtina Valley (Rize, Turkey). *Appl Radiat Isot*, **65**: 1281–1289.
  24. Celik N, Cevik U, Celik A, Koz B (2009) Natural and artificial radioactivity measurements in Eastern Black Sea region of Turkey. *J Hazard Mater*, **162**: 146–153.
  25. Celik N, Cevik U, Celik A, Kucukomeroglu B (2008) Determination of indoor radon and soil radioactivity levels in Giresun, Turkey. *J Environ Radioactiv*, **99**: 1349–1354.
  26. ITS Turkish Statistical Institute (2007) News Bulletin 9, T.C. Bas, bakanlık Turkiye Istatistik Kurumu, Turkey.
  27. Firestone RB and Shirley VS (1998) Table of Isotopes, 8th Edition, John Wiley, New York.
  28. Grigorescu EL, Razdolescu AC, Sahagia M, Luca A, Ivan C, Tanase G (2002) Standardization of <sup>152</sup>Eu. *Appl Radiat Isot*, **56**: 435–439.
  29. ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection) (1990) Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, Vol. 21, No.1–3, Publication 60.
  30. IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) (1989) Vienna, Austria, Technical Report Series-295.
  31. Beretka J and Mathew PJ (1985) Natural radioactivity of Australian building materials, industrial wastes and by-products. *Health Phys*, **48**: 87–95.
  32. Alam MN, Chowdhury MI, Kamal M, Ghose S, Ismal MN (1999) The <sup>226</sup>Ra <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K activities in beach sand minerals and beach soils of Cox'sBazar, Bangladesh. *J Environ Radioactiv*, **46 (2)**: 243–250.
  33. NEA-OECD (Nuclear Energy Agency) (1979) Exposure to radiation from natural radioactivity in building materials. Report by NEA Group of Experts OECD, Paris.
  34. Krieger (1981) Radioactivity of construction materials, Betonwerk Fertigteile Techn. 47, 468.
  35. Abbaspour M, Moattar F, Okhovatian A, Kharrat SM (2010) Relationship of Soil Terrestrial Radionuclide Concentrations and The Excess of Lifetime Cancer Risk in Western Mazandaran Province, Iran. *Radiat Prot Dosim*, **142 (2-4)**: 265–272.
  36. Rani A and Singh S (2005) Natural radioactivity levels in soil samples from some areas of Himachal Pradesh, India using g-ray spectrometry. *Atmos Environ*, **39**: 6306–6314.
  37. Yang Y, Wu X, Jiang Z, Wang W, Lu J, Lin J, Wang L, Hsia Y (2005) Radioactivity concentrations in soils of the Xiazhuang granite area, China. *Appl Radiat Isot*, **63**: 255–259.
  38. Rafique M (2014) Cesium-137 activity concentrations in soil and brick samples of Mirpur, Azad Kashmir; Pakistan. *Int J Radiat Res*, **12 (1)**: 39–46.
  39. Dhawal SJ, Kulkarni GS, Pawar SH (2013) Terrestrial background radiation studies in South Konkan, Maharashtra, India. *Int J Radiat Res*, **11 (4)**: 263–270.
  40. Ajayi OS and Ibikunle SB (2013) Radioactivity of surface soils from Oyo state, South Western Nigeria. *Int J Radiat Res*, **11 (4)**: 271–278.