Application of 64-slice spiral computed tomography angiography in a follow-up evaluation after coronary stent implantation: A Chinese clinical study
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ABSTRACT

Background: This study assessed the application value of 64-slice spiral computed tomography angiography (CTA) in a follow-up evaluation of patients receiving coronary stent implantation. Materials and Methods: A total of 468 patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) at our hospital between January 2013 and October 2016 were selected for this study. Coronary angiography and 64-slice spiral coronary CTA were performed. The differences between CTA and coronary angiography regarding the diagnosis of in-stent restenosis (ISR) were compared. Results: The sensitivity, specificity, false positive rate, false negative rate, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of 64-slice spiral coronary CTA in evaluating ISR were 77.9%, 96.4%, 3.6%, 22.1%, 69.1%, 97.7%, and 94.71%, respectively. The results of the CTA and coronary angiography in diagnosing ISR in different locations of the coronary artery were not significantly different (P>0.05). CTA imaging quality was significantly better for stents with diameters >3 mm than that for stents with diameters ≤3 mm (P<0.05). Conclusion: Overall, 64-slice spiral coronary CTA has very high accuracy and was not significantly different compared with coronary angiography when assessing the patency of stents. CTA is advantageous because it is inexpensive, simple and convenient, and it can be used for follow-up examinations.
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INTRODUCTION

Percutaneous coronary stent implantation is currently an important method to treat coronary heart disease in clinical practice (1, 2). In-stent restenosis (ISR) is a major complication after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), and most cases occur one year after procedure (3, 4). Approximately 50% of patients with ISR after PCI have no significant angina symptoms (3-6). Coronary angiography is the gold standard for diagnosing ISR, but it is expensive and involves a relatively complex procedure. Coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) is a simple procedure that can be used to reconstruct clear images of the coronary anatomy and shows satisfactory sensitivity and accuracy for diagnosing coronary artery disease. Moreover, this procedure is inexpensive and easy to perform (7, 8). The current study retrospectively analyzed and compared 64-slice spiral CTA images with corresponding coronary angiography images from 468 post-PCI patients at our hospital and evaluated the application value of coronary CTA in a follow-up examination after PCI.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the University of Fujian Medical University, China, for a retrospective review of post-PCI patients with clinically suspected ISR who received CTA and coronary angiography. Additionally, written informed consent was signed by the families of the patients.

We retrospectively selected 468 patients undergoing PCI at our hospital between January 2013 and October 2016. A total of 998 stents were implanted (ResoluteTM zotarolimus-eluting stents, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients underwent coronary CTA and coronary angiography approximately 12 months after PCI, and the interval between the two procedures was no more than one month; and 2) no revascularization was performed within one year after PCI. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients with unstable clinical conditions including acute coronary syndrome, severe heart or lung insufficiency, or cardiogenic shock, or severe renal insufficiency; 2) patients undergoing coronary CTA or coronary angiography alone, or the interval between the two procedures was more than one month; 3) patients with atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter.

Preparation before examination

Patients presenting with a heart rate of >70 beats/min (no β-receptor blocker contraindications were evident) were administered metoprolol tartrate tablets (25-50 mg) orally and then underwent an examination one hour later. Before the scan, the patients were asked to relax and were trained on how to control their breathing during the examination (i.e., each patient was instructed to breathe deeply and then to hold his or her breath for 15 seconds for practice).

Scanning methods

A Philips 64-slice spiral CT scanner was used to continuously scan volumes in this study. First, a baseline image of the chest during a breath-hold was obtained. Then, the scanner was operated in retrospective electrocardiogram-gated mode to perform an enhanced cardiac scan. The scanning range was from 10 mm below the tracheal carina to 10 mm below the heart. A high-pressure syringe was used for a bolus injection of iopamidol (60-70 ml, 5.0 ml/s) via the forearm vein, followed by a 20-ml normal saline flush. The scan parameters were as follows: tube voltage, 120 KV; tube current, 80 mA; collimation, 0.6 mm; pitch, 0.2; rotation time, 330 ms; and the ascending aortic root as the threshold-monitoring position, with a threshold value of 100 Hu. Scanning started five seconds after iopamidol injection.

Image analysis: The raw images were reconstructed using processing techniques and software such as curved planar reformation. Volume rendering, maximum intensity projection, curved planar reformation, multiplanar reformation, and three-dimensional postprocessing of the scan data were performed to obtain various images of the coronary arteries. A four-step grading scale allowed classification into four groups: group 1, excellent image quality; group 2, good image quality; group 3, moderate image quality; and group 4, poor image quality. Agreement between the investigators for the grading of image quality (1-4) was calculated with the k statistic. The results were interpreted as poor (k<0.20), fair (k=0.21-0.40), moderate (k=0.41-0.60), good (k=0.61-0.80), very good (k=0.81-0.90), or excellent (k>0.91)(9). A further imaging analysis was performed for the stent image quality scores of 1-3; stent image quality scores of 4 were rejected.

Restenosis after coronary stenting was assessed by the degree of stenosis = (normal vessel diameter proximal to the stenosis-diameter of the stenosed part)/normal vessel diameter proximal to the stenosed part × 100% (10). Figure 1 referring to the research methods of Gasper, the stented segment was graded 1 to 4 according to the proportion of the vessel lumen that was poorly enhanced: grade 1, no or minimal narrowing; grade 2, moderate narrowing with obstruction of 50% of the lumen; grade 3, significant (50%) but not severe...
narrowing; and grade 4, severe narrowing to total occlusion of the stented segment. Narrowing of 50% of the luminal diameter in the worst view in relation to a reference segment was defined as clinically relevant restenosis (11).

Statistical analysis: Two physicians blinded to the study separately evaluated the results. The coronary artery angiography results were used as the reference standard to evaluate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of CTA in diagnosing ISR. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences software version 19.0 was used for statistical analysis. Counting data were analyzed by the Chi-square test. A P value <0.05 was defined as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that 998 stents were implanted, although 70 stents were excluded because of poor CTA imaging. Of the remaining 928 assessable stents, ISR was identified in 86 stents on coronary angiography. CTA was used to identify 67 of 86 stents with ISR, although this procedure missed 19 stents with ISR. The sensitivity, specificity, false positive rate, false negative rate, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of CTA in evaluating ISR were 77.9%, 96.4%, 3.6%, 22.1%, 69.1%, 97.7%, and 94.71%, respectively. Statistical analyses did not reveal a difference between the two procedures. The positive and negative likelihood ratios were 21.87 and 0.229, respectively. No significance difference was found between the two methods (P>0.05).

Table 2 shows that the results between CTA and coronary angiography regarding the diagnosis of ISR in different locations of the coronary artery were not significantly different. Table 3 shows that an implanted stent with a larger diameter is associated with a lower incidence of ISR. Specifically, the restenosis rate of stents ≤3 mm in diameter was significantly higher than that of stents >3 mm in diameter. In the group with stent diameters ≤3 mm, CTA differed from coronary angiography with regard to the diagnosis of ISR in the circumflex artery and therefore cannot replace coronary angiography. In the other two groups, the data were not significantly different.

The results also show that 6% of the reconstructed CT images of the stents >3 mm in diameter scored between 3 and 4, whereas 12.0% of the reconstructed CT images of the stents ≤3 mm in diameter scored between 3 and 4. The imaging quality of CTA for the stents >3 mm in diameter was significantly better than that for the stents ≤3 mm in diameter (p<0.05).
**DISCUSSION**

PCI is the most direct and effective method for treating coronary heart disease. It has the advantages of reduced trauma and quick recovery, and it has been widely used in clinical practice. ISR is one of the most serious complications of PCI. Its main pathogenesis is mechanical damage and long-term stimulation by metal stents of the intima, which lead to hyperplasia of the intima cells. Elastic retraction of the blood vessels, intimal injury, and thrombosis can cause restenosis (12). Fischman et al. suggested that the occurrence of restenosis among bare-metal stents (20% to 30%) and drug-eluting stents (5% to 10%) should not be ignored (13, 14). Daemen et al. found that thrombosis can easily occur during the early period following PCI. The probability of ISR 4 weeks after PCI was only 0.2% to 0.6% per year (15). However, ISR mostly occurs between 10 and 12 months after PCI. Moreover, approximately half of all patients with ISR after PCI have no apparent angina (16). Therefore, assessment of stent patency and early detection of ISR after PCI are important to prevent myocardial ischemia and improve prognosis.

Currently, coronary angiography is the gold standard for coronary artery visualization and the evaluation of stent patency after PCI. It can accurately display the entire coronary artery, including the anatomical location of the stent and the degree/scope of narrowing. However, this procedure is expensive, complicated to perform, and invasive, which can result in a painful experience for patients. Therefore, identification of a non-invasive, inexpensive, and simple-to-operate procedure for timely detection of ISR has been a research hotspot in the field of medical imaging.

Sixty-four-slice spiral CT scans can accurately, non-invasively, and stereoscopically display the morphology of blood vessels because of their ultrathin slice features and extremely high spatial resolution (17, 18). CT scans have significant advantages in the diagnosis of coronary artery disease and can be considered a non-invasive modality for diagnosing coronary artery disease. This study demonstrates that CTA is consistent with coronary angiography in its evaluation of stent patency and ISR. The evaluation results of CTA for ISR, the negative predictive value, and the accuracy are similar to those of coronary angiography. Therefore, CTA can be considered an effective method for evaluating stent patency.

---

**Table 1.** Comparison of ISR diagnosis results between coronary CTA and coronary angiography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTA</th>
<th>coronary angiography</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Comparison of ISR results between coronary CTA and coronary angiography in different locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>item</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>left main coronary artery</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left anterior descending coronary artery</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>0.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>left circumflex coronary artery</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>right coronary artery</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Comparison of ISR results of different diameter and length stents between coronary CTA and coronary angiography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>item</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>diameter ≤3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diameter 3~4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>0.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diameter ≥4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
after stenting\textsuperscript{(19,20)}.

This study showed that the accuracy of coronary CTA in the diagnosis of ISR in the left main artery, anterior descending artery, circumflex artery and right coronary was high, producing results consistent with those of coronary angiography. However, a significant difference was noted between the two procedures (i.e., coronary CTA differed from coronary angiography with regard to the diagnosis of ISR in small-diameter stents), which may be associated with the larger blood supply area, larger anatomical variations, and the smaller diameter of the circumflex artery. Smaller-diameter implanted stents are associated with an increasing incidence of ISR. Stents with smaller diameters can easily produce artifacts that indicate poor CTA imaging quality and result in a higher misdiagnosis rate. Therefore, coronary angiography remains the recommended treatment for patients undergoing PCI using small-diameter stents. Some patients presented with recurrent angina symptoms after PCI, which may be related to the progression of coronary artery disease, ISR, or both. We recommend coronary angiography as the first choice for examination because a lesion can be treated immediately during the procedure.

Stent diameter is one of the important factors affecting the imaging quality of CTA. Stents with smaller diameters can easily produce artifacts and lead to poor imaging of the in-stent structure. These artifacts affect the assessment of the patency of the stent\textsuperscript{(20)}. This study showed that the image quality of CTA coronary stents with stent diameters greater than 3 mm was significantly better than that of stents with diameters less than 3.0 mm. Table 3 shows that CTA of stents >3.0 mm is associated with adequate reconstructed images and shows higher specificity for the detection of ISR in target vessels. These results were consistent with those of coronary angiography, indicating that CTA coronary imaging can successfully evaluate ISR in stents >3 mm in diameter. For stents <3 mm in diameter, ISR evaluations via CTA are obviously limited.

This study has the following limitations. It was a single-center, retrospective case study that excluded patients with atrial fibrillation, a concurrent fast heart rate, and contraindications to beta blockers. Selection bias may have occurred with regard to patient enrollment. The follow-up period was brief. Previous studies have shown that the stent material is closely related to metal artifacts and is an important factor affecting the image quality of the stent structure during CTA\textsuperscript{(19,20)}. Unfortunately, this study did not evaluate the application value of coronary CTA for diagnosing ISR in stents made of different materials.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}

Sixty-four-slice spiral coronary CTA has the same accuracy as coronary angiography when assessing the patency of stents and has the following advantages: non-invasiveness, low cost, and simple and convenient operation. However, for stents <3 mm in diameter, ISR evaluations via CTA are obviously limited.
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