Percutaneous laser photocoagulation of osteoid osteoma: Assessment of treatment in nine cases
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ABSTRACT

Background: Osteoid osteoma is a benign bony neoplasm and its classic treatment is surgery. In the recent decades percutaneous laser therapy was suggested to be replaced by surgery. In this study we have reviewed the results of the first applications of interstitial laser photocoagulation (ILP) for treatment of osteoid osteoma in Iranian patients.

Materials and Methods: In this case series study, we evaluated 9 known cases of osteoid osteoma that were referred for ILP from orthopedic section of Imam Khomeini hospital during 2001 and 2002. Diagnosis was confirmed by plain X-ray, CT, isotope & MR scan. ILP was done by interventional radiologists of medical imaging center. Percutaneous ILP was performed by Nd-Yag laser, using 400-1000 joules energy (according to nidus size) and adjusting on 2 watts power.

Results: The procedure was successful in all patients. We had pain relief in 24 hours. Follow up from 5 months to one year showed no recurrence.

Conclusion: The procedure is a safe and effective method for patients and could be applied for proper cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Osteoid osteoma is a benign bony neoplasm which often occurs in young men. It is most common in first three decades of life; however, some of the lesions occur in older patients. Typically the patients have pain which is worse at nights and is relieved with aspirin (Carnesale 1998, Rogers 1993). Cortical osteoid osteoma appears as a small radiolucent area which its diameter is not larger than a few millimeters, so it may be missed in preliminary X-rays. Usually the sclerotic reaction is severe. Tomography or CT scan may be necessary to demonstrate the central lucrecy, which is a significant landmark. Mostly, the lesions occur at the femoral neck and extremities (Cayli et al. 1998, Radcliffe et al. 1998). If the lesion occurs near the joints, there is a possibility of swelling and stiffness. In children, if the vertebral cord is involved, there would be a possibility of scoliosis. (Carnesale 1998, Rogers 1993, Radcliffe et al. 1998). The most important differential diagnosis is brodie's abscess (Adams and Hamblen 1995).

There are various methods in treating osteoid osteoma such as surgery, percutaneous extraction trocar alcoholization and thermo-coagulation with radio frequency and laser.
Interstitial laser photocoagulation (ILP) is a new method based on invitro and experimental animal studies. These investigations have shown promising results, with well-demarcated coagulated tissues (thermal necrosis) that have predictable size in solid tissues with the use of a low-power laser probe. Tumors are coagulated and thus destroyed by direct heating in this method. This technique has been applied clinically to treat liver, pancreas, prostate, brain, breast, and lymph nodes tumors. Experimental work has shown that a reproducible, well-defined area of coagulative necrosis is obtained around the precharred fiber tip in bone, with good correlation between energy delivered and lesion size. Carbonization of the fiber tip before ILP (precharring) makes the effect more predictable (Gangi et al. 1998a).

The laser energy is able to coagulate and vaporize the tissues (Radcliffe et al. 1998) in interstitial laser photocoagulation (ILP) the laser energy is used to coagulate and destruct the tumor through direct heat (Gangi et al. 1998b). The experiments showed that the maximum necrosis would be achieved after reception of 1000-1200 joules that can cause osteocyte necrosis (50°C) at 8 mm around the fiber tip (Gangi et al. 1998a).

The size of osteoid osteomas falls within the range of those that can be effectively coagulated, and the nidus can be identified precisely on CT scans. The transmission of heat within bone is sharply limited by blood flow and by the fact that high temperatures cannot be sustained over great distances (Gangi et al. 1998a). The maximum necrosis depends on the wave length, energy deposited, used power (the temperature of instruments, tip), the optical and thermal characteristics of target tissue and the type of the optic fiber. (Gangi et al. 1998a, Gangi et al. 1997a, Gangi et al. 1998c, Wacker et al. 1998). Precise control of treated area, high accuracy the applicability in joints make the ILP more superior to alcoholization and the other techniques which remove the tissue in situ. ILP needs one night hospitalization and the patients can return to their works afterward.

ILP has been carried out just in patients with typical lesions. It is considered that ILP is a safe and effective technique which is able to treat osteoid osteoma without potential complications of excision (Gangi et al. 1998a, Gangi et al. 1998b, Gangi et al. 1997a, Gangi et al. 1995).

Laser therapy is a non-invasive method and it is more economical than surgery. According to the resection of surgery with the weakening of bone and the risk of fracture, the main purpose of ILP is the local destruction of lesion without harming the bone. According to the priority of using ILP in treating osteoid osteoma in Iran, we should modify all accepts of treatment. The main purpose of this study is assessing the success of laser therapy in treatment of osteoid osteoma in patients who were referred to Imam Khomeini hospital during 2001 & 2002.

MATERIALS AND METHODES

At first, the diagnosis was confirmed in all patients by plain radiography, CT scan Isotope scan and MRI. Lesions were localized with CT scan and the nidus dimension was measured. The maximum diameter of nidus determined the needed energy for tumor photocoagulation (Gangi et al. 1995, Gangi et al. 1997a) (table 1). The laser equipment was Nd-YAG type. In all patients, the used power was two watts. The needle entered the nidus under the CT guide (Towbin et al. 1995, Mazayer et al. 1991). Those subperiosteal or cortical nidi, without major calcification, were directly penetrated by a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nidus size</th>
<th>Required Energy (Joules)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Required energy for every nidus size.
biopsy needle, G: 18 (Ny, Rutherford, Becton Dickenson) (figure 1). Since the needle size was small and hence the collection of sufficient sample was not possible, the biopsy had not been carried out. An eight hundred micron fiber inserted through the needle. The needle was withdrawn about 5mm to let the bare tip of needle to be remained within the tumor (figures 2&3). Fiber precharing was done clinically by firing of fiber in a few milliliter of patient's blood immediately before initiating the procedure (Mazayer et al. 1991, Assoun et al. 1993). One follow up was done for all patients and in that, patient’s symptoms were assessed and imaging studies were done with CT scan and/or plain radiography.

RESULTS

Nine patients with history and radiological manifestations of osteoid osteoma had been treated by ILP. One patient was female and others were male. The male patients were in the age range of 9-20 years and the female patient was 79 years old. In all patients, the procedure was done with local anesthesia, and CT scan controls showed nidus were destroyed completely and that nidus sclerosis had occurred completely. The pain relief occurred promptly, maximum by 24 hours after the procedure in all patients. There was no evidence of infection in any patients, and no evidence of recurrence was observed in 6 months and one year follows up (table 2). In spite of some degree of sclerosis there was no evidence of nidus residue or new bone formation. All clinical signs of patients had disappeared. No complications had been observed in patients during and immediately after the procedure, and in short and long term follow up.

DISCUSSION

Osteoid osteoma is a benign bony tumoral lesion. For treating patients, the nidus should be removed or destructed. Surgery is the classic treatment. Most authors suggest enblock resection; however, the risk of fracture in this method is...
high because the lesions are often cortically located. It would be very comfortable for patients if an easy procedure is done instead of surgery, because operation is very costly and needs post operation cares and enbloc resection may cause bone fracture after the surgery. Since the presentation of laser many studies have been carried out to assess the function in treatment of osteoid osteoma (Carnesale 1998, Radcliffe et al. 1998, Gangi et al. 1998a, Gangi et al. 1998, Wacker et al. 1998c, Gangi et al. 1997b). In laser therapy we should consider that the diagnosis must be confirmed without histological examinations, for example some studies showed that in some radiologic and radioisotope studies although the clinical symptoms and history were positive, there were no evidence of disease and biopsy was done to confirm the diagnosis. The bone nidus is the typical finding (Carnesale 1998, Rogers 1993, Radcliffe et al. 1998). Cortical osteoid osteoma appears as a small radiolucent area with sclerosis and enhanced margins, we use spiral CT scan and tomography to demonstrate it, so, there won’t be a need for biopsy to confirm the diagnosis. If the lesion is confirmed without histological examinations, we are authorized to use methods like ILP.

Guiding laser fiber trough skin to the location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>The amount of pain before treatment</th>
<th>Time of pain before treatment</th>
<th>Response to NSAID (the number of consumed tablets)</th>
<th>Nidus Diameter</th>
<th>Deformity</th>
<th>Involved bone and site</th>
<th>Remedy amount after ILP</th>
<th>Time interval between pain relief and complement of ILP</th>
<th>Follow up period</th>
<th>Results of follow up Recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/F/79</td>
<td>Class III – Inability to sleep</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Brufen 400 10/ day</td>
<td>8mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Distal of Internal codyle of left Femur-cortical</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>One day after treatment</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/M/18</td>
<td>Class II – Inability to do daily activity</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Ibuprofen 400 8/day</td>
<td>4mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Distal of left Femur-cortical</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
<td>11 month</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/M/6</td>
<td>Class II - Inability to do daily activity</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Aspirin 10/day</td>
<td>5mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Distal of right Tibia-Cortical</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
<td>5 month</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/M/9</td>
<td>Class II - Inability to do daily activity</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Aspirin 16/day</td>
<td>7mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1/3 middle of right Tibia-Endosteal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tomorrow after treatment</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/M/11</td>
<td>Class III – Inability to sleep</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Ibuprofen 200 20/day</td>
<td>4mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Proximal of right Tibia-Endosteal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/M/16</td>
<td>Class III – Inability to sleep</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Aspirin 10/day</td>
<td>5mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Distal of left Femur-cortical</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/M/22</td>
<td>Class II - Inability to do daily activity</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Aspirin 10/day</td>
<td>7mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Distal of right Tibia-Cortical</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tomorrow after treatment</td>
<td>9 month</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/M/8</td>
<td>Class II - Inability to do daily activity</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Ibuprofen 200 20/day</td>
<td>4mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Proximal of left Femur-Endosteal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/M/12</td>
<td>Class II - Inability to do daily activity</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>Brufen 400 10/ day</td>
<td>5mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Distal of right Tibia-Endosteal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tomorrow after treatment</td>
<td>11 month</td>
<td>No Recurrence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Disease characteristics, location of nidi and treatment indices in patients
of the nidus is very important in laser therapy. There are various methods in guiding laser fiber. For instance, when ILP was performed CT guided on vertebral lesions the results were noticeable (Gangi et al. 1998c). Guiding fiber with CT scan showed acceptable results in other parts of the body (Gangi et al. 1995, Towbin et al. 1995, Mazayer et al. 1991, Assoun 1993). These findings matched with this study. Besides, we can use CT scan to modify the location, size and diameter of nidus. The maximum amount of absorbed energy during ILP is very important (It is adjusted with the diameter of nidus) because the overlap energy would be harmful to healthy issues. (in osteoid osteoma there is no need to remove the reactional bone) Considering the size of osteoid osteoma that is less than 1 centimeter (Carnesale 1998, Rogers 1993, Radcliffe et al. 1998) there would be no need to perform the process more than one time. In some studies they used MRI instead of CT to guide the fiber and it was successfully done (Wacker et al. 1998, Sequeiros et al. 2003).

Three factors must be concerned when evaluating the efficacy of the ILP:
1- Decrease the amount of pain, 2- Destruction of lesion after the process, 3- No recurrence in long term follows up. As mentioned previously, the pain relief occurred promptly 1 day after the procedure that matched with most of the similar published studies and showed the efficiency of this procedure in reducing the symptoms of the lesion. For example, the report of Witt and colleagues (2000) showed that the pain relief was seen in most of the patients and less than 30% of them felt little pain after the procedure (Witt et al. 2000). Another study showed that there was completely no sign of disease symptoms after 3 weeks and 3 months in all patients that matched with our study (Sequeiros et al. 2003). Other studies also showed that all patients except one were recovered completely (De Friend et al. 2003, Gangi et al. 1998d) and during 4-23 months follow up, they showed no recurrence (De Friend et al. 2003).

We controlled the location of the lesion with CT scan and radiography and it showed the complete destruction of nidus that matched with most of the studies (Gangi et al. 1998a, Gangi, et al. 1997c, Sequeiros et al. 2003, Witt et al 2000, De Friend et al 2003, Gangi et al. 1998d) In fact destruction of the tumor was the reason of the extinction of the symptoms; it was confirmed with radiographies that were done to control the patients. This study showed no recurrence in one year follow up which matched with other studies (Sequeiros et al. 2003). In addition, the post complications of processes are another problem which should be considered.

The success of ILP is another aspect which is presented with disappearing of signs and eradications of tumor after the processes. As mentioned before there’s no technical limitation which is also compatible with other reports. This is the priority of procedure. In some cases the lesions had not been eradicated completely and the patients required another ILP. This problem is due to the incomplete eradication of the lesion or incorrect insertion of the laser probe. This may be solved and treated through performing another operation.

In conclusion the procedure is a safe and effective method for patients and could be applied for proper cases.
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