

Estimation of external natural background gamma ray doses to the population of Caspian coastal provinces in North of Iran

M. Amiri¹, R. Abdi², A. Shabestani Monfared^{3*}

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

²Department of Radiology, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

³Department of Medical Physics, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran

Background: The effect of natural background radiation on health is still controversial. However, it is clear that it depends on the dose received by the population. The estimation of external natural background gamma rays received by the population of Caspian coastal provinces in the northern part of Iran was the main goal of this study. **Materials and Methods:** Gamma rays was measured using calibrated radiation survey meter in 51 urban and rural health centers randomly to estimate the exposure to population (Total population = 6888118 persons) in residential areas of Gilan, Mazandaran and Golestan (Total area 59240 Km²) as Caspian coastal provinces, North of Iran. **Results:** Results showed that the average dose rate in the areas under study was about 60.37 ± 14.88 nSv/h or 0.53 mSv/yr (Range 30 to 90 nSv/h or 0.26 to 0.79 mSv/yr). The data from Ramsar was excluded from the estimation because of its very high natural background radiation (Max. 240 mSv/yr). No significant difference was found among the doses of the provinces (P=0.237). **Conclusion:** The external natural background gamma ray dose to the population of Caspian coastal provinces, North of Iran, was found to be almost equal to the average value in the world (0.5 mSv/yr). *Iran. J. Radiat. Res., 2011; 9(3): 183-186*

Keywords: Background radiation, gamma rays, Caspian Sea, Iran.

INTRODUCTION

Large populations all over the world continue to be exposed to natural background radiation ⁽¹⁾. It should be noted that the biological effects of relatively high doses of radiation have been noticed a little after the discovery of X-ray and radioactivity. However, the effect of the natural background radiation at low and very low doses on human health is still the matter of concern ⁽²⁾. Therefore, the first step for

determining health effects of radiation is measuring the exposure dose. The natural background radiation dose/dose rate has been investigated by many researchers in various parts of the world and a wide range of results are reported ⁽³⁻⁶⁾. The risk of cancer from natural background radiation is still challenging ⁽⁷⁾. As the northern parts of Iran are located on the cancer belt and the frequency of cancer in these areas seems to be higher than some other regions, the estimation of external natural background gamma rays doses to the population of Caspian coastal provinces in north of Iran was the main goal of the present study to determine its significance on higher cancer incidence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Environmental terrestrial gamma radiation dose rates were measured using a Geiger survey meter (Graetz X5CPlus, Germany) calibrated by Iranian Atomic Energy Organization (IAEO) in random 51 urban and rural health centers to estimate the exposure to population (Total population = 6888118 persons and total area 59240 Km²) in residential areas of Gilan (Population = 2658902 persons, Area 14711 Km²), Mazandaran (Population = 2602008 persons, Area 24091 Km²), and Golestan (Population = 1627208 persons, Area 20438 Km²) as Caspian coastal provinces in north

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Ali Shabestani Monfared,
Medical Physics department, Babol University of
Medical Sciences, Babol, Iran.

Fax: +98 112 5289733

E-mail: monfared_ali@yahoo.com

of Iran. The exposure measurement was done by holding the survey meter 100 cm above the ground level for 1 minute as reading time, and each measurement was repeated for three times. It was supposed that all gamma rays were emitted from soil and absorbed by inhabitants. Local health centers were used as dosimetry places because of 98.9% coverage of population for health services. Data were analyzed by ANOVA using SPSS-16 software. Figure 1 depicts the area under investigation.



Figure 1. The total area under study.

RESULTS

Results showed that the average dose rate in the total area under study was about 0.53 ± 0.13 mSv/yr (Range 0.26 to 0.79 mSv/yr). The data from Ramsar was excluded from the estimation because of a very high natural background radiation found in that area (Max. 240 mSv/yr) (8, 9). According to the report of UNSCEAR-2000 (2), the coastal city, Ramsar, involves the highest background radiation among the whole residential areas in the world. The radioactivity of the area was mainly due to Ra-226 and its decay products which have been brought up to earth surface by hot springs, water. There are more than 50 hot springs with different concentrations of radium in the Ramsar which are usually used as spas by the residents and visitors (10). So far, the

reported maximum dose has been 13 mSv in Kerala and about 240 mSv in Ramsar per year (9). Table 1, 2 and 3 show the dose rates of different places in Gilan, Golestan and Mazandaran provinces respectively. No significant difference was found among the doses of the mentioned provinces ($P=0.237$).

Table 1. The external gamma dose rates in urban and rural areas in Gilan Province.

Area	Dose Rate (mSv/yr)
Somesara/Hendkhale/Markaz	0.60
Somesara/Hendkhale/Khane	0.43
Somesara/Laksar	0.39
Somesara/Nargestan	0.48
Somesara/Chamsaghal	0.49
Somesara/Sookhiande	0.63
Amlash/Girgooraber/Markaz	0.53
Amlash/Girgooraber/Khane	0.64
Amlash/Kashkoor	0.68
Shaft/Chooabar	0.61
Shaft/Bijarsar	0.57
Shaft/Mobarakabad	0.44
Astara/Sayadlarcheran	0.48
Astara/Gilde	0.50
Astane/Sakookalaye	0.35
Astane/Lashkam	0.76
Astane/Sookhtekhoooh	0.79
Mean \pm SD	0.55 ± 0.13

Table 2. The external gamma dose rates in urban and rural areas in Golestan Province.

Area	Dose Rate (mSv/yr)
Kordkooy/Alang	0.49
Kordkooy/Chardeh	0.48
Ramian/Sadabad	0.54
Ramian/Arazgol	0.53
Gonbad/Gavaznitape	0.59
Gonbad/Sarli	0.54
Kalaleh/Malaysheykh	0.70
Kalale/Ginglik	0.61
Kalaleh/Soofisheykh-daz	0.66
Mean \pm SD	0.57 ± 0.07

Table 3. The external gamma dose rates in urban and rural areas in Mazandaran Province.

Area	Dose Rate (mSv/yr)
Mahmoodabad/Azadmon	0.49
Mahmoodabad/bonde	0.48
Amol/Amol	0.54
Amol/Noori	0.53
Amol/Tajan jar/olia	0.59
Amol/Tajanjar/sofla	0.54
Amol/ Tajanjar/ansari	0.70
Tonkabon/Sharifabad	0.61
Tonkabon/Lashtoo	0.66
Tonkabon/Darvishsara	0.44
Sari/Khoramabad	0.57
Sari/Shahableylam	0.39
Sari/Zavarmahale	0.53
Savadkooh/Zirab	0.53
Savadkooh/Zirabbala	0.46
Savadkooh/Madan	0.44
Galoogah	0.54
Galoogah/Lamrask	0.26
Galoogah/Tirtaj	0.26
Galoogah/Sarajmahale	0.66
Galoogah/Ghalepayan	0.26
Chaloos/Marzanabad	0.60
Chaloos/Toolir	0.26
Chaloos/Pardangoon	0.35
Chaloos/Shahrestan	0.74
Mean \pm SD	0.50 \pm 0.15

DISCUSSION

Results show that the Mean dose rate in the area under study was 0.53 mSv/yr. The average radiation dose rate in some parts of Nigeria is reported by Ajayi *et al.* ⁽³⁾ as 0.53 mSv/yr which is equal with the results achieved in the present study. Harb *et al.* in Egypt ⁽¹¹⁾ and Lu & Zhang in China ⁽¹²⁾ have reported the natural background radiation levels about 10 times lower than the similar value in the present study. The terrestrial gamma radiation dose rate in North-West areas and Punjab province of Pakistan (0.34 and 0.28 mSv/y respectively) which were investigated by Rahman *et al.* ⁽¹³⁾ and Fatima *et al.* ⁽⁴⁾ have been about one-half of the mean dose rate of the present study. Also El-Taher *et al.* ⁽¹⁴⁾ from Egypt, Osmanlioglu *et al.* ⁽⁵⁾ from Turkey and Oyedele ⁽¹⁵⁾ from Namibia have measured the dose rate from environmental radioactivity at about 0.39, 0.06 and 0.07 mSv/yr, respectively which have been much lower than the 0.53 mSv/y, especially in the last two cases. Zunic *et al.* ⁽¹⁶⁾ have measured the level of natural radiation exposure to the rural population of Yugoslavia as high as 3.77 mSv/yr which is much higher than the measured values in the present study. Table 4 shows comparison between the

Table 4. Comparison between the results of the present and similar studies.

Researchers	Country	Date of Study	Dose Rate (mSv/y)
Ajayi <i>et al.</i> ⁽³⁾	Nigeria	2008	0.53
Harb <i>et al.</i> ⁽¹¹⁾	Egypt	2008	0.05
Lu & Zhang ⁽¹²⁾	China	2008	0.05
Rahman <i>et al.</i> ⁽¹³⁾	Pakistan (north-west areas)	2008	0.34
Fatima <i>et al.</i> ⁽⁴⁾	Pakistan (Punjab province)	2008	0.28
El-Taher <i>et al.</i> ⁽¹⁴⁾	Egypt	2007	0.37
Osmanlioglu <i>et al.</i> ⁽⁵⁾	Turkey	2007	0.06
Zunic <i>et al.</i> ⁽¹⁶⁾	Yugoslavia	2001	3.58
Oyedele ⁽¹⁵⁾	Namibia	2006	0.07
Monfared (Present Study)	Northern Iran	2010	0.53

results of this study and the similar ones. It seems that the values of terrestrial gamma radiation dose rate vary over different soil types and for different underlying geological characteristics presented in various study areas.

In conclusions the external natural background gamma rays doses to the population of Caspian coastal provinces in North of Iran (except for Ramsar) was found to be almost equal to the average world value (0.5 mSv/yr). Further national studies in other provinces are recommended.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Mr. Nasrollahpoor Shirvani and his colleagues for providing dosimetry data.

REFERENCES

1. Karam PA and Leslie SA (1999) Calculations of background beta - gamma radiation dose through geologic Time. *Health Phys*, **77**: 662-667.
2. UNSCEAR (2000) Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. United Nations scientific committee on the effects of atomic radiation (UNSCEAR), New York.
3. Ajayi OS, Ibikunle SB, Ojo TJ (2008) An assessment of natural radioactivity of soils and its external radiological impact in southwestern Nigeria. *Health Phys*, **94**: 558-66.
4. Fatima I, Zaidi JH, Arif M, Daud M, Ahmad SA, Tahir SN (2008) Measurement of natural radioactivity and dose rate assessment of terrestrial gamma radiation in the soil of southern Punjab, Pakistan. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*, **128**: 206-12.
5. Osmanlioglu AE, Kam E, Bozkurt A (2007) Assessment of background radioactivity level for Gaziantep region of southeastern Turkey. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*, **124**:407-10.
6. Kam E, Yasar Yand Bozkurt A (2010) A study of background radioactivity level for Tekirdag, Turkey. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*, **138**:40-4.
7. Wakeford R, Kendall GM and Little MP (2009) The risk of cancer from natural background ionizing radiation. *Health Phys*, **96**:55-66.
8. Ghiassi-nejad M, Mortazavi SMJ, Cameron JR, Niroomand Rad A, Karam PA (2002) Very high background radiation areas of Ramsar, Iran. Preliminary Biological studies. *Health Physics*, **82**: 87-93.
9. Sohrabi M (1990) Recent radiological studies of high level natural radiation areas of Ramsar. *ICHLNR*, 3-7.
10. SH Monfared A, Mozdarani H, Amiri M (2003) Natural Background Radiation Induces Cytogenetic RadioAdaptive Response More Effectively than Occupational Exposure in human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes. *Czechoslovak Journal of Physics*, **53**: 791-795.
11. Harb S (2008) Natural Radioactivity and External Gamma Radiation Exposure at the Coastal Red Sea in Egypt. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*, **130**: 376-84.
12. Lu X and Zhang X (2008) Measurement of Natural Radioactivity in Beach Sands From Rizhao Bathing Beach, China. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*, **130**: 385-8.
13. Rahman S, Matiullah , Mujahid SA, Hussain S (2008) Assessment of the radiological hazards due to naturally occurring radionuclides in soil samples collected from the north western areas of Pakistan. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*, **128**: 191-7.
14. El-Taher A, Uosif MA, Orabi AA (2007) Natural radioactivity levels and radiation hazard indices in granite from Aswan to Wadi El-Allaqi southeastern desert, Egypt. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*, **124**: 148-54.
15. Oyedele JA (2006) Assessment of the natural radioactivity in the soils of Windhoek city, Namibia, Southern Africa. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*, **121**: 337-40.
16. Zunic ZS, McLaughlin JP, Walsh C, Birovljev A, Simopoulos SE, Jakupi B, Gordanic V, Demajo M, Trotti F, Falk R, Vanmarcke H, Paridaens J, Fujimoto K (2001) Integrated natural radiation exposure studies in stable Yugoslav rural communities. *Sci Total Environ*, **272**: 253-9.