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INTRODUCTION

¹²³I in the form of sodium iodide is used most commonly for the measurement of thyroid uptake and thyroid imaging. In addition, radiiodinated compounds have been widely employed in the detection of cardiological, neurological and oncological diseases (¹). Among the various types of nuclear reactions for producing ¹²³I, the following reactions are favored due to the absence of ¹²⁴I and ¹²⁵I impurities:

\[ ^{124}_{54}\text{Xe}(p,2n)^{123}_{53}\text{Xe} + ^{2.08}_{53}\text{B} 
\]

¹²⁴I production system via ¹²⁴Xe gas target technology has been constructed and installed in Cyclotron and Nuclear Medicine Department of Nuclear Research Centre for Agriculture and Medicine (NRCAM). One of the major problems in this system is the highly expensive cost of the enriched ¹²⁴Xe gas. Therefore, saving this gas inside the system is very important. Unfortunately, by accidental rupture of the window foil or bad function of O-rings, the whole Xenon gas will escape from the system immediately. In this paper, by using computer codes, Alice91, SRIM and doing some calculations, it has been tried to demonstrate the latest effort for feasibility study of producing ¹²³I with the above mentioned reactions, but using ¹²⁴Xe solid target instead.

I-123 Production Reactions

The most important nuclear reactions which can be used for the production of ¹²³I, by using the cyclotron accelerator model Cyclon30 (cyclotron at NRCAM) is listed in table 1. It is clear from table 1, that in reactions using ¹²⁴Xe as a target, the purity of the produced ¹²³I is very high (>99.99%). Some famous centers around the world also selected xenon technology for producing ¹²³I. Among them the one can be mentioned is KfK.
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Background: Iodine-123 (¹²³I) is regarded as one of the best radionuclides for in vivo medical studies using single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) due to its suitable physical property.

Materials and Methods: To design a new system in order to replace cryogenically solidified xenon target by the gas one, some necessary calculations are needed to be done such as finding the excitation functions variation of the production reactions, thick target yield of ¹²³I production, etc. The computer codes Alice91 and SRIM have been used as a calculation tools.

Results: According to the suggested design, a conical shaped irradiation vessel made of copper with thickness of 1mm, outlet diameter of 1 cm, 5 cm length and 12° angle at summit can be fixed inside a liquid nitrogen housing chamber. The ¹²⁴Xe gas was sent to the inside of this very cold conical trap and eventually deposited on its surface in solid form. Calculation showed that during bombardment with 17-28 MeV proton energy, the thickness of solidified xenon layer remained about 0.28 mm.

Conclusion: The production yield of ¹²³I can be predicted to be around 350 mCi/µAh. Iran. J. Radiat. Res., 2008; 5 (4): 207-212
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Table 1. Nuclear reactions for Iodine-123 production, regarding NRCAM cyclotron beam outlet characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Proton energy range (MeV)</th>
<th>$^{124}$I impurities (%)</th>
<th>$^{123}$I purity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{124}$Xe (p,2n) $^{123}$Cs→$^{123}$Xe→$^{123}$I</td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt; 99.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{124}$Xe (p,pn) $^{123}$Xe→$^{123}$I</td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt; 99.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{123}$Te (p,n) $^{123}$I</td>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{123}$Te (p,2n) $^{123}$I</td>
<td>23–26</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{123}$Te (d,3n) $^{123}$I</td>
<td>8–16</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Thick Target Yield Production**

In order to calculate the production yield of $^{123}$I, the following equation has been used (6):

$$\text{Yield} = \frac{H(1-c^{-\lambda})}{M} \int_{E_0}^{E_i} \frac{dE}{dx} dE$$

$$\lambda = \text{Half-Life (hour)}$$

$H = \text{Isotopic enrichment (in %)}$

$M = \text{Molecular mass}$

$E_0 = \text{Outlet energy (in this work =28 MeV)}$

$E_i = \text{Inlet energy (in this work =17 MeV)}$

In this relation, the value of the stopping power was calculated by SRIM code, and the reaction cross sections, $\sigma (E)$, were extracted from the generated data in figure 1.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Method of calculation**

For designing a new system in order to replace cryogenically solidified xenon target by gas one, some necessary calculations are needed to be done, such as finding the excitation functions variation of the production reactions, thick target yield of $^{123}$I production, rate of heat transfer during proton bombardment, etc. In this respect, the computer codes Alice91 (4), and SRIM (5), were used as the calculation tools.
calculated to be about 0.6 mm. Since the copper element, with 1 mm thickness, was also selected for constructing the body of the target house, there was no reason to worry about the penetration of proton beam, outside the system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Excitation functions calculation

Cross section variation determination (excitation functions) for two \((p, 2n)\) and \((p, pn)\) reactions were the most important subject for research in this field. For reaching the highest \(^{123}\text{I}\) production efficiency, it was necessary to find the best range of proton energy which in this energy range, the cross sections of these reactions had the maximum accessible value. In this regard the Alice91 code was used and the excitation functions of \(^{124}\text{Xe} (p, 2n) \quad \text{^{123}Cs}\) and \(^{124}\text{Xe} (p, pn) \quad \text{^{123}Xe}\) is shown in figure 1, the best energy range of incident proton beam was between 17-28 MeV.

Comparisons of the achieved results with experimental data for the above two reactions are shown in figures 2 and 3. As it was shown in previous sections, the calculated excitation functions for the \(^{124}\text{Xe} (p, 2n) \quad \text{^{123}Cs}\) and \(^{124}\text{Xe} (p, pn) \quad \text{^{123}Xe}\) reactions, was in agreement with the results obtained at other laboratories around the world (7, 8).

The thick target yield of \(^{123}\text{I}\) production, as a function of the proton energy was calculated after 6.6 hours bombardment (the waiting time at the end of bombardment needed to maximize the activity of the produced \(^{123}\text{I}\)) are shown in figures 4 and 5 for the above two reactions. It is clear from these curves that the production yields of \(^{123}\text{I}\) through \((p, pn)\) reaction has been negligible in comparison with \((p, 2n)\) reaction.
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Figure 3. Cross section of \(^{124}\text{Xe} (p, pn) \quad \text{^{123}Xe}\) reaction obtained via Alice code and experimental data.
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Figure 4. Thick target yield of \(^{123}\text{I}\) for \(^{124}\text{Xe}(p,2n) \quad \text{^{123}Cs}\) reaction as a function of the proton energy for solid xenon target.
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Figure 5. Thick target yield of \(^{123}\text{I}\) for \(^{124}\text{Xe}(p,pn) \quad \text{^{123}Xe}\) reaction as a function of the proton energy for solid xenon target.
Figure 6 shows the variation of the thick target yield versus the proton energy for $^{123}$I production via xenon gas target, which was reported by Firouzbakht et al. (9). Comparison of the production yield of $^{123}$I through solid xenon target (figures 5 and 6), which was calculated at 6.6 hours after the end of bombardment, is much higher than that of the gas xenon target (9).

However, because of lower stopping power for solid xenon target than the gas one, the thick target yield of $^{123}$I has been much higher for the former than the latter (about 140 mCi/µAh and 35 mCi/µAh, at proton energy range of 17-28 MeV).

Because of intense heat transfer characteristic of solid xenon material, its maximum thickness in solid form was not more than 0.5 mm (10). So to let the proton beam penetrate from inside the formed xenon ice body up to 2.83 mm, and to decrease its energy to 17 MeV, it was necessary to make the target house conically. Calculation showed that the best summit angle for this conical shape target house should be 12°. At this angle, the real thickness of xenon ice layer was about 0.2 mm, which was less than critical 0.05 mm thickness. The melting point of solid xenon was about 161°K (-112°C) and the temperature of liquid nitrogen was about 133°K (-140°C). In the worst condition of heat transferring between these two medium, the maximum proton beam current permitted to enter the target house was about 1.46 µA.

**Design of target vessel**

The schematic diagram of the suggested conical irradiation copper vessel is shown in figure 7. The thickness of the cone is 1 mm with about 5 cm height and 1 cm iterance diameter (in front of proton beam). The summit angle of this cone is 12°. The calculation showed that the weight of xenon gas which was sent inside the conical trap and cooled by liquid nitrogen, should have been about 0.7 g (~120 cm³) in order to deposit on the inside surface of it as a solid target material.

Firouzbakht et al. (11), have suggested a similar conical target vessel design with 15 degrees at summit of the cone. It is believed that by increasing the angle from 12 degree, the perpendicular thickness of the solid xenon, inside surface of the cone should increase in some way which eventually causes that xenon, like insulator, prevents the good heat removing from the system, during proton bombardment. Furthermore, in the present design the shape of summit was curved at inside edge. This form will be helpful for the reduction of the temperature gradient at the inside edge of summit, which eventually prevents the xenon gas change to ice shape, at this place, more than the other
Design of $^{124}$Xe solid target for $^{123}$I production

Other advantages of this technique in comparison with the previous one (with xenon gas target), can be summarized as follows:

- Prevention of sudden loss of the whole expensive enriched $^{124}$Xe gas from the system, because of window foil failure or bad functioning of some O-rings at the position of pipes junctions.

- In solid xenon target process, the initial pressure inside the system should be 1 bar (atmosphere pressure). However, in xenon gas target process, the initial pressure inside the target vessel should be at least 5 bar.

- The amount of enriched $^{124}$Xe which is needed in each bombardment, for solid target process has been about 0.7 g (120 cc at STP); whereas for gas, it should be more than 4 grams. This way, the required inventory of expensive $^{124}$Xe for preparing the target will reduce effectively.

According to the suggested design, a conical shaped irradiation copper vessel with 1mm thickness, 1cm outlet diameter, 5cm length and 12° angle at summit was fixed inside a liquid nitrogen housing chamber. The $^{124}$Xe gas was sent to the inside of the very cold conical trap and eventually deposited on its surface in solid form. The calculation showed that during bombardment with 17-28 MeV proton energy, the thickness of solidified xenon layer will remain around 0.28 mm. Likewise, thermodynamical calculation showed that in order to prevent the evaporation of solidified xenon, the maximum permissible proton beam current for the system should have been less than 1.4 µA. According to these working conditions, the production yield of $^{123}$I could be predicted to be around 150 mCi/µAh.
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