Volume 19, No 3

Radiological assessment on Caesium-137 (137Cs) radionuclide contamination from metal recycling facility and its surrounding environment, South -South Nigeria

I. Sambo* and G.B. Ekong*

Department of Radiological Safety, Nigerian Nuclear Regulatory Authority, Plot 564/565 Airport Road, Central Business District, Garki, Abuja, FCT, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

► Original article

*Corresponding authors: Isa Sambo, Ph.D., *E-mail:* isasambo@yahoo.com

Godwin Ekong, Ph.D., E-mail: gobass04@yahoo.com

Revised: July 2020 Accepted: August 2020

Int. J. Radiat. Res., July 2021; 19(3): 599-606

DOI: 10.29252/ijrr.19.2.599

Background: Radioactive material through scrap metal dealers, is often sold to steel processing facilities, which contaminate products with associated waste ends up in the environment. This study was to conduct radiological assessment of ¹³⁷Cs contaminated at metal processing facility and surrounding environment at Southern Nigeria as a function of time. Materials and Method: Samples were randomly collected within the facility and at surrounding environment, and analyzed using HpGe detector. Results: The ¹³⁷Cs concentration ranged (1.61±0.25- 2619.35±133.80) Bq/g, with mean of 417.17±23 Bg/g. The ¹³⁷Cs concentration at 500 meters from the facility ranged (0.21±0.02- 3.63±0.25) Bq/g with the mean of 1.30±0.16 Bq/g. The ¹³⁷Cs concentration in the facility was above admissible limit of 100 Bq/g except that recorded at 500 meters away. The radiological hazards within the first year were estimations, which showed Dose through Inhalation Pathways was 6.89x10⁻³ mSv/yr. Dose through Soil Ingestion Pathways was 0.91 mSv/yr, and Sum External Dose from all the Pathways to be 1.44 x10³ mSv/yr. The sum of evaluated radiological risk was found to be higher than the 0.25 mSv/yr or 1 mSv/yr admissible limits. An evaluated Excess Life Cancer Risk was found to be 2.5 guite above admissible limit of (029x10⁻³). Conclusion: It is inferred from the assessment that, there is a likelihood of radiological health risk due ¹³⁷Cs contaminated to workers, public and other biota. Therefore, immediate remediation is recommended and as well as restrictions of the public using materials from the area for soil stabilization and farming purpose.

Keywords: Cesium-137, radioactive contamination, metal recycling.

INTRODUCTION

Variety of human activities can result in concentration of radioactive materials in the environment through process products and by-products waste streams.

Some of these activities are oil exploration. mining and milling of ores, scrap metal recycling/steel processing plants, waste treatment, some consumer products and nuclear reaction activities ⁽¹⁾. Also, nuclear activities have similar tendencies to increase radiation

level on earth through controlled operation, premeditated discharges or accident, and some abandon or orphan radioactive sources. Contaminated radioactive materials especially metals from these activities find their way as scraps to steel reprocessing facilities, thus its generated waste and contaminated products subsequently that are released to the environment^(2,3).

In the above regards, this has become radiation protection concern for both workers and public as this contamination environmental

media, finds its way to human body through several exposures pathways of inhalation, ingestion and skin absorption, and which ends up irradiating tissues or organs of the body. The resultant effect of this may likely cause impairment, permanent alteration and possible death of the cells ⁽³⁻⁶⁾.

An artificial radionuclide under consideration capable of contaminating the environment is ¹³⁷Cs, which is one radionuclide that may probably emanate from atmospheric nuclear weapons test, nuclear accident, scrap metal recycling facility, or indirect processes from sequential beta decav of precursor radionuclides, produced in the fission process of ¹³⁷Te decays to ¹³⁷Cs, which has a relatively long half-life of 30.07 years. The ¹³⁷Cs during its release may spread into the stratosphere and troposphere, and gradually returns to the earth after a long while and thus contaminating the environment⁽⁷⁻⁹⁾.

Several other pathway routes by which ¹³⁷Cs radionuclides contamination may get the human and environment are airborne deposition by atmospheric release, re-suspension of dust particles, runoff erosion via rainfall and root uptake by plants through food chain process ^(10, 11). The easy uptake of ¹³⁷Cs radionuclide is because of its solubility, and it is capable to replace ⁴⁰K, ²²Na of Group I alkali metal element in the body mineral constituents, involved in ATPase pump or action potential, which disperses evenly in the body because of having same oxidation state, but of greater affinity ^(9, 12, 13).

The aim of this study was to verify the presence and magnitude of ¹³⁷Cs contamination from defunct metal recycling facility wastes streams, evaluate its radiological hazards implication from present concentration level and assessed the contamination spread over 500 meters from the facility to surrounding environment. The uniqueness of this study was evaluating both the contamination level decaying and radiological impact decreasing process with respect to time that shall make for valuable input when considering decontamination and remediation purpose.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instrumentation

Major instruments used in this work are, GARMIN etrex 10 (GPS Finders – Serial number 3964), P-type Coaxial Hyper Pure Germanium detector (HpGe) detector at the Environmental Monitoring Laboratory of National Institute of Radiation Protection and Research (NIRPR), University of Ibadan, which has participated in inter-comparison analysis with other environmental analytical laboratories organised by the IAEA, Vienna, Austria.

The HpGe is a detector Canberra coaxial type, with 50% relative efficiency, and resolution of 2.4 keV- at 1.33 MeV of Co-60 was used. It is 8023 Model: Gc with Serial Number: 9744 and Pre Amplifier Model: 2002csl. The HpGe detector is well shielded by a lead shield, to protect from environment external radiation interference during measurement. The calibration of the HpGe detector was performed using IAEA calibration Multi-Gamma Ray Standard (MGS6M315) standards, to acquire spectrum peaks of radionuclides spanning through energy lines of ²⁴¹Am at 59.5keV to ²⁰⁸Tl at 2614 keV, with which all other unknown radionuclides were dully detected and identified (14,15)

Sample collection

The verification study were carried out at a defunct steel facility a steel processing/ metal recycling facility located at South - South Nigeria. A total of 32 samples of about 1kg were collected each from different locations of Input stream (INSA), Processing stream casting points areas (PSCA), Waste stream slag Area (WSSA, WSSB for water samples), Waste stream fly ash (WSFA), few meters away from the facility (Control).

Also, total of 18 samples of about 1kg were collected systematic random at 500 meters from the facility within every 100ft (33m) of each other. The areas with their designated codes were Front Gate (FG 1, FG2 etc), Right Side (RS1, RS2 etc), Outside Gate B (OGB1, OGB2 etc), Front Gate Water (FGW), and Water from River.

Int. J. Radiat. Res., Vol. 19 No. 3, July 2021

Preparation and analysis

Samples preparations were carried out using standard procedures and dried at about 150°C to remove moisture. Samples were sieved using 500ym mesh to ensure homogeneity and reduce tendency of undesirable attenuation during measurement. Samples that could not be sieved directly were sent for pulverization; about 0.65 kg of each sample was packed, sealed and labeled in a cylindrical Marinelli beaker that has provision to sit directly on the detectors cap. Samples were not stored to attain secular equilibrium since they were considered as hot samples because of anticipated artificial radionuclide; and were then counted for 18,000 seconds with HpGe detector.

Efficiency of the HpGe detector was estimated using the standard IAEA source to calibrate the detector prior to sample analysis. The absolute efficiency (\mathcal{E}_{γ}) of a HpGe detector at specific gamma energy is given by equation 1, ⁽¹⁶⁾.

$$\mathcal{E}_{\gamma} = \frac{\mathbf{C}_{nst}}{A \times II_{\gamma}} \tag{1}$$

Where; *A* is the activity in *Bq* of gamma ray sources used in calibration and $I\gamma$ is absolute gamma decay intensity of specific energy peak (is the probability of emission per transformation for a photo peak specific energy).

Activity Concentration (A_c) was calculated from analyzed using the equation 2, ⁽¹⁶⁾ as:

$$A_c = \frac{c_{\text{net}}}{\varepsilon_{\gamma} \times I_{\gamma} \times m}$$
(2)

Where; mass of the sample is denoted as *m* in the expression. The unit of activity concentration of soil sample is given as Bq/g.

The decay rate of these nuclides concentration is proportional to the amount of atoms present at the time and the decay constant of the nuclide. This is given by equation 3:

$$dN/dt = -\lambda N$$
 (3)

1 . .

Where; N is the number of atoms present, λ is the decay constant, the negative sign

indicating decrease of N time (t). The decay constant is associated to half-life (which is time required a nuclide to decay by half its original state and often designated as $t_{1/2}$. This is given by equation 4:

$$\lambda = \ln \frac{2}{t_{1/2}} \tag{4}$$

Where; there are N_0 atoms and t=0, equation 3, (14, 15) becomes

$$N = N_0 exp^{(-\lambda t)}$$
(5)

Radiological hazards evaluation

Recently, in a bid to make available consistent foundation for radiation dose evaluations, studies supporting contemporary IAEA and ICRP handbook on reference or parameter values use in assessing transfer of radionuclides from soil-root transfer, anatomical and physiological data have been released for studies and references. These transfer factors are incorporated into codes like FRAME, PC-CREAM, ERICA, ECOLEGO, RESRAD etc. and used for radiological assessments.

The verification and validation from analysed results with RESRAD codes were employed for radiological evaluation of associated hazards/ impacts of this study. These codes have been expansively tried in an inter- comparison analysis with about seven other models in the world, and have been proven to be the most effective tools for environmental radiological risk assessment tool for contaminated sites and radiological situations ⁽¹⁷⁾.

The RESRAD code is computer software which incorporates all radiological inbuilt parameters like radionuclides data, derivation constants/concentration coefficients, regulatory limits /constraints and conditions etc. The analysis results obtained from the laboratory are imputed into the code fields for a particular type of desire results; the programme is allowed to run for specified time making use of inbuilt parameters and the results are generated. These results can be obtained in data form as in table 3 or graphical plots as in figures 1-3.



maximum concentration as a function of time.



Figure 2. Graphical presentation of External Dose for ¹³⁷Cs contamination from pathways as a function of time.



ELCR evaluation from 137Cs contamination as a function of Time

Figure 3. Graphical presentation of ELCR from ¹³⁷Cs contamination from sum of all pathways as a function of time.

RESULTS

The activity concentration (Bq/g) of 137 Cs was evaluated using equation 2, for analysed samples and current concentration evaluated using Equation 5 as presented in table 1. The concentration of INSA was found to be 259.80±13.34, PSCA was 156.81±8.12, WSSA was 158.26±8.18, WSSB was 1.81±0.26, and WSFA was 1248.99±63.86. The ranged of 137Cs radionuclides contamination for the analysed samples in the facility area was between (1.76±0.27 - 2868.56±146.53) Bq/g with mean of $881.76.56\pm45$ Bg/g, while the current concentration evaluated between was (1.61±0.25- 2619.35±133.80) Bq/g, with mean of 417.17±23 Bq/g. table 1 presents the activity concentration (Bq/g) of 137 Cs concentration from analysed samples of different locations and Control.

Also, the activity concentration (Bq/g) of ¹³⁷Cs was evaluated using equation 2, for analysed samples and current concentration was evaluated using Equation 5 for analysed samples results at 500 meters from the facility to the surrounding environment as presented in table 2. The. The concentration of the analysed samples for ¹³⁷Cs radionuclides were between ($0.23\pm0.02 - 3.97\pm0.27$) Bq/g with mean of 1.42±0.17 Bq/g, and current evaluated result was between ($0.21\pm0.02 - 3.63\pm0.25$) Bq/g with the mean of 1.30±0.16 Bq/g.

The radiological hazard implications arising from the current maximum ¹³⁷Cs concentration of 2619.35 Bq/g were evaluated using RESRAD (ONSITE) family codes from pathway parameters of Sum External Dose from all Pathways, Sum Excess Life Cancer Risk (ELCR) from all Pathways, Dose through Inhalation Pathways, Dose through Soil Ingestion Pathways as a function of time, and result of the evaluation is presented in table 3.

Sample type	Sample code	Dose rate (\Sv/h)	Activity concentration at 2016 (Bq/g)	Present activity concentration (Bq/g)	
BG	-	0.07±0.01	-	-	
SOIL -	CON 01	0.50±0.03	ND	ND	
CONTROL	CON 02	0.09±0.02	ND	ND	
SOIL – INPUT	INSA 01	0.06±0.02	70.44±3.69	64.32±3.37	
AREA	INSA 02	0.05±0.02	498.60±25.53	455.28±23.31	
	PSCA 01	0.09±0.01	99.59±5.20	90.94±4.75	
	PSCA 02	0.10±0.01	208.39±10.77	190.28±9.83	
	PSCA 03	0.11±0.01	830.07±42.44	757.95±38.75	
SOIL – PROCESSING	PSCA 04	0.12±0.01	57.77±3.06	52.75±2.79	
	PSCA 05	0.12±0.01	320.48±16.47	292.64±15.04	
CASTING	PSCA 06	0.12±0.01	4.15±0.42	3.79±0.39	
AREA	PSCA 07	0.15±0.01	33.27±1.85	30.38±1.69	
	PSCA 08	0.14±0.01	131.11±6.80	119.72±6.21	
	PSCA 09	0.14±0.01	7.60±0.55	6.94±0.50	
	PSCA 10	0.13±0.01	24.85±1.36	22.69±1.24	
	WSFA 01	0.44±0.06	2114.13±107.99	1930.46±98.61	
	WSFA 02	0.32±0.01	37.93±2.09	34.64±1.91	
	WSFA 03	0.22±0.02	2733.29±139.62	2495.83±127.49	
SOIL – WASTE STREAM FLY ASH AREA	WSFA 04	0.15±0.02	411.68±21.11	375.91±19.28	
	WSFA 05	0.21±0.04	1537.75±78.63	1404.15±71.80	
	WSFA 06	0.17±0.03	84.08±4.41	82.69±4.74	
	WSFA 07	1.21±0.02	1155.15±59.06	1054.80±53.93	
	WSFA 08	0.45±0.03	2868.56±146.53	2619.35±133.80	
SOIL - WASTE STREAM SLAG AREA	WSSA 01	0.09±0.01	369.35±18.94	337.2617.30	
	WSSA 02	0.11±0.02	63.18±3.33	57.69±3.04	
	WSSA 03	0.10±0.02	33.40±1.82	30.50±1.66	
	WSSA 04	0.09±0.02	55.19±2.92	50.39±2.67	
	WSSA 05	0.10±0.02	133.91±6.94	122.28±6.34	
	WSSA 06	0.11±0.02	472.30±24.27	431.27±22.16	
	WSSA 07	0.11±0.02	223.72±11.53	204.29±10.53	
	WSSA 08	0.11±0.02	35.48±1.95	32.40±1.78	
14/4755	WSSB 01	0.12±0.01	1.76±0.27	1.61±0.25	
VVAIEK	WSSB 02	0.12±0.01	2.20±0.31	2.01±0.28	
Minimum			1.76±0.27	1.61±0.25	
Maximum			2868.56±146.53	2619.35±133.80	

 Table 1. Analysed and evaluated ¹³⁷Cs radionuclides concentration from the verification study.

Table 2.	Table 2. Measured activity concentration of collected samples at 500 meters from the facility.							
Sample type	Sample code	Dose rate (կՏv/h)	AC. conc. at 2016 (Bq/g)	Present ac. conc. (Bq/g)				
Soil	FG1	0.09±0.69	1.24±0.21	1.13±0.19				
Soil	FG2	0.08±0.00	3.97±0.04	3.63±0.04				
Soil	FG3	0.07±0.01	1.30±0.25	1.19±0.23				
Soil	FG4	0.07±0.01	1.47±0.27	1.34±0.25				
Soil	FG5	0.06±0.01	ND					
Soil	FG6	0.08±0.00	0.65±0.20	0.59±0.18				
Soil	FG7	0.07±0.00	2.04±0.27	1.86±0.25				
Water	FGW1	0.07±0.00	ND					
Soil	RS1	0.06±0.00	1.05±0.24	0.96±0.22				
Soil	RS2	0.06±0.00	0.30±0.15	0.27±0.14				
Soil	RS3	0.05±0.00	1.23±0.24	1.12±0.22				
Soil	RS4	0.05±0.00	ND					
Soil	RS5	0.05±0.00	ND					
Soil	OGB1	0.07±0.00	1.58±0.21	1.44±0.19				
Soil	OGB2	0.06±0.00	1.53±0.18	1.40±0.16				
Soil	OGB3	0.03±0.00	0.84±0.15	0.77±0.14				
Soil	OGB4	0.04±0.00	1.14±0.02	1.04±0.02				
Soil	OGB5	0.04±0.00	ND					
River Water	RWB1	0.06+0.00	0 23+0 08	0 21+0 07				
Behind	RWBI	0.0010.00	0.2320.00	0.2120.07				
Minimum			0.23±0.02	0.21±0.02				
Maximum			3.97±0.27	3.63±0.25				
Average			1.42±0.17	1.30±0.16				

Evaluating parameters	1 Year	3 Years	10 Years	30 Years	100 Years	155 Years	300 Years
¹³⁷ Cs Ave . Conc. (Bq/g)	4.08×10^{2}	3.89 x10 ²	3.31 x10 ²	8.2×10^{1}	4.2×10^{1}	12	0.00
¹³⁷ Cs Max. Conc. (Bq/g)	2.55 x10 ³	2.44×10^3	2.08 x10 ³	1.38 x10 ³	2.62 x10 ²	72	0.00
Sum Ext. Dose all Pathways (mSv/yr)	1.44 x10 ³	1.38 x10 ³	1.18 x10 ³	7.88 x10 ²	1.48 x10 ²	1.21 x10 ²	1
Sum ELCR from all Pathways	2.50	2.30	2.10	1.30	0.25	0.01	0.0025
Dose from Inhalation Pathways (mSv/yr)	6.89x10 ⁻³	6.55x10 ⁻³	5.50x10 ⁻³	3.50x10 ⁻³	0.61x10 ⁻³	0.00	0.00
Dose from Ingestion Pathways (mSv/yr)	0.91	0.87	0.74	0.46	0.13	0.00	0.00

DISCUSSIONS

It was observed from table 1 that, the highest contribution of ¹³⁷Cs concentrations of the facility came from the fly ash area with maximum value of 2619.35±133.80 Bq/g. The average concentration of 417.17±23 Bq/g was much higher than admissible limits. The high concentrations above admissible limits of 100 Bq/g signifies presence ¹³⁷Cs source which found its way to the scrap metal recycling facility thereby contaminating the entire process line ^(7,11,18,19).

Evaluating ¹³⁷Cs concentration contamination

as a function of time using RESRAD (ONSITE) family codes, reveals that by 155 years from now, the present maximum concentration of 2619±0.13 Bq/g would have been reduced to clearance level of 72 Bq/g. Whereas the present average concentration of 417.17±23 Bq/g would have been reduced to clearance level of 12 Bq/g which will invariable results to insignificant radiological effect to both human and other biota and is graphically presented in figure 1.

The activity concentration of ¹³⁷Cs radionuclides at 500 meters to the surrounding environment presented in table 2, were far below levels for exemption of bulk

Int. J. Radiat. Res., Vol. 19 No. 3, July 2021

amounts and for clearance of solid further consideration as material without internationally recommended limit for metal recycling. These ¹³⁷Cs concentrations does not portray any radionuclide - soil transfer from the facility to the environment. However, the evaluation was imperative to create public that no spread of the ¹³⁷Cs assurance contamination had a likelihood to result in appreciable radiological risk to both flora and fauna of surrounding environment.

Some studies were conducted in some part of Nigeria with traces of ¹³⁷Cs concentration similar to that of 500 meters to the surrounding environment and were noted. An assessment of radioactivity content of food in the oil and gas producing areas in Delta State, Nigeria where an evaluated results for ¹³⁷Cs was between (0.27-2.4) Bq/g ⁽²²⁾. Also, Radiological Baseline Assessment of proposed Nuclear Power Plant site in Itu, Akwa Ibom State Nigeria were ¹³⁷Cs concentration was 1.07±0.26 Bg/g. Although the concentration was below limit, but the presence of ¹³⁷Cs radionuclides calls for periodic monitoring to avoid accumulated effect of health hazards (23). These similar studies with traces of ¹³⁷Cs concentration portrays that the ¹³⁷Cs radionuclide may have emanated from fallout from nuclear test, which is likely to spread into stratosphere and troposphere, and gradually returning to the earth over time (6, 9, 11, 13).

The radiological hazard estimations using RESRAD (ONSITE) family of codes reveals that at the first year, sum external dose from all Pathways was found to be 1.44 ×10³ mSv/yr, dose through inhalation pathways was 6.89×10⁻³ mSv/yr, and dose through soil ingestion pathways was 0.91 mSv/yr. These evaluations were based on a conservative approached of 0.25 mSv/yr instead of 1 mSv/yr regulatory limits/ conditions, standard dose coefficient factors etc. (19-21) as presented in table 3. However, the radiological risk evaluation was found to be much higher than the 0.25 mSv/yr or 1 mSv/vr admissible limits. Also, the evaluation of external dose for 137Cs contamination from pathways as a function of time is graphically presented in figure 2, and this reveals that by 300 years, the present

Int. J. Radiat. Res., Vol. 19 No. 3, July 2021

external dose of 1446 mSv/yr would have been reduced to 1 mSv/yr, which will equivalence to public annual effective dose and as presented in figure 2.

Furthermore, the likelihood of cancer risk due to exposure to radiation in the location to any population was evaluated from Sum ELCR from all pathways, which was found to be 2.50 at the first year much higher than the admissible limits. Also, evaluating ELCR for ¹³⁷Cs concentration as a function of time as graphically presented in figure 3, reveals that by 300 years, the present ECLR of 2.50 will reduce to 0.25×10^{-3} and falls below the admissible limit of 0.29×10^{-3} ⁽²¹⁾.

CONCLUSIONS

Radioactive materials through scrap metal dealers' activities find their way to steel processing facilities, and thereby contaminating the facility and environment through process products and associated waste streams. The study was to verify ¹³⁷Cs contamination at the metal processing facility, its surrounding environment and assess the radiological impact. The ¹³⁷Cs contamination was discovered to be high in the facility, and low at the surrounding environment translated to no radiological hazards to the public and other biota. Therefore, decontamination of the facility and periodic monitoring is advised.

Conflicts of interest: Declared none.

REFERENCES

- US Environmental Protection Agency (2011) Radioactive equilibrium. Available at http://www.epa.gov/radiation/ understand/equilibrium.html, 2011. Last Assessed 20th March 2019.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (2005) Environmental and source monitoring for purpose of radiation protection, IAEA Safety Standard Series No. RS- G-1.8, IAEA, Vienna, Austria, 2005; 10-130.
- 3. International Atomic Energy Agency (2012) Control of orphan sources and other radioactive material in the met-

al recycling and production Industries. IAEA safety standards for protecting people and the environment (SSG-17). Austria: Publication of International Atomic Energy Agency, 2012; STI/PUB/1509.

- Njinga RL, Ibrahim YV, Ishoryiyi IJ (2015) Radioactivity analysis in underground drinking water sources in Niger state university of Nigeria, *Pollution*, 1(3): 315-324.
- 5. Little MP (2003) Risks associated with ionizing radiation. Environmental pollution and health British Medical Bulletin, (68)1: 259–275.
- Martin A, HarbisonS, Beach K, Cole P (2012) An introduction radiation protection. Fifth Edition, Hodder Arnold, an imprint of Hodder Education, *Hachette UK*, 6 -209.
- Ogundare FO and Nwankwo CU (2014) Radionuclide content of, and radiological hazards associated with samples from different streams of metal recycling facilities, *Radio*protection, 50(1): 55-58.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (2005) Radiological conditions at the former french nuclear test sites in algeria: preliminary assessment and recommendations. *Radiological Assessment Report Series, IAEA, Vienna, Austria,* 2005; 1-59.
- Osouli A, Abbasi F, Naseri M (2009) Measurement of ¹³⁷Cs in soils of Tehran province. *Int J Radiat Res*, 7(3): 141-149.
- Kolo MT, Amin YM, Khandaker MU, Abdullah WHB (2017) Radionuclide concentrations and excess lifetime cancer risk due to gamma radioactivity in tailing enriched soil around Maiganga coal mine, Northeast Nigeria. *Int J Radiat Res*, **15(1)**: 71-80.
- 11. International Atomic Energy Agency (2014) Radiation protection and safety of radioactive source. International Basic Safety Standard, General Safety Requirements Part 3, *IAEA, Vienna, Austria, 1-110*.
- 12. Waugh A and Grant A (2014) Ross and Wilson Anatomy & Physiology in Health and Illness, International Edition, *Churchill Livingstone Elservier, 147-148*.
- Ekong GB, Sambo I, Sayaidi S (2016) Determination of radionuclides surface concentration and radiation level in Fukushima prefecture, Japan. *Modern Environmental*

Science and Engineering, 2(1): 757-764.

- Fasunwon O, Alausa S, Odunaike R, Alausa I, Sosanya F, Ajala B (2010) Activity concentrations of natural radionuclide levels in well waters of Ago Iwoye, Nigeria. *Int J Radiat Res*, 7(4): 207-210.
- 15. Knoll GF (2010) Radiation detection and measurement. Fourth Edition; John Wiley & Sons, New York, 123- 506.
- Dizman S and Keser R (2019) Natural radioactivity in ceramic tiles and associated radiological hazards. Int J Radiat Res, 17(2): 245-252.
- Yu C (2018) Introduction to radiological dose assessment and RESRAD family of codes. Environmental Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, USA Department of Energy, 2018; 1-22. Last accessed on 21st May, 2018, Available at: http, resrad.evs.anl.gov.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (2011) Radiation protection and safety of radiation sources: International Basic Safety Standards. IAEA Safety Standard Series General Safety Requirement Part 3, IAEA, Vienna, Austria.,
- 19. International Commission on Radiological Protection (2007) The 2007 recommendations 103 of the international commission on radiological protection, ICRP publication, 2007.
- 20. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) (2000a) Exposures from natural radiation sources, Annex B New York, 2000a.
- United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) (2000b) Effects and risks of ionizing radiations. United Nations; New York, 2000b.
- 22. Tchokossa P, Olomo, JB, Balogun FA, Adesanmi CA (2013) An assessment of radioactivity content of food in the oil and gas producing areas in Delta State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Science and Technology*, 3(4): 245–250.
- Ekong G, Akpa T, Umaru I, Samson D, Akpanowo MY, Benson N (2021). Baseline radioactivity and associated radiological hazards in soils around a proposed nuclear power plant facility, South-south Nigeria. Journal of African Earth Sciences, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2021.104289