Determination of human absorbed dose from $^{153}\text{Sm}$-Samarium maltolate based on distribution data in rats
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ABSTRACT

Background: Therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals are designed to deliver high doses of radiation to selected target organs with an aim of minimizing unwanted radiation to surrounding healthy tissue. Due to the potential of targeted radiotherapy to treat a wide range of malignant conditions, $^{153}\text{Sm}$-samarium maltolate was developed for possible therapeutic applications. Materials and Methods: The organ radiation-absorbed doses have been evaluated for human based on animal data. After intravenous administration of $^{153}\text{Sm}$-Mal to four groups of rats, they were sacrificed at exact time intervals and the percentage of injected dose per gram of each organ was calculated by direct counting from rat data. Then $S$ values for $^{153}\text{Sm}$ by using specific absorbed fractions were calculated. By taking advantage of the formulation that Medical Internal Radiation Dose suggests, radiation-absorbed doses for all organs were calculated and extrapolated from rat to human. Results: From rat data, it is estimated that a 185-MBq injection of $^{153}\text{Sm}$-Mal into a human might result in the highest absorbed dose in the lymphoma tissues (liver 176.3, lungs 68, spleen 66.8 and sternum 19 mGy), especially in liver respect to the other tissues. Conclusion: These results suggest $^{153}\text{Sm}$-Mal as an efficiently new therapeutic agent in order to overcome possible lymphatic malignancies.
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INTRODUCTION

Projecting radiotracer distribution and dosimetry across species from small animals such as rats to humans can be useful for accelerating the development of radioactive compounds to be used in clinical settings. Preclinical studies of radiopharmaceutical behavior are useful for predicting pharmacokinetics and metabolism in humans. Animal studies provide valuable distribution data for evaluating the potential utility of new therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals. Owing to the introduction of new therapeutic radiocompounds to deliver high doses of radiation to selected target organs with an aim of minimizing unwanted radiation to surrounding healthy tissue, there is a need for efficient evaluation of the time-dependent distribution of such tracers in animals.

Concerning to the recent project on the production and evaluation of lanthanide-based labeled compound using samarium-153 for targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) applications, the production of
[153Sm]-samarium maltolate was performed (7).

Radioisotopes with medium-energy beta emissions and half-life of a few days are attractive candidates for targeted irradiation (8). Samarium-153 has favorable radiation characteristics, medium-energy beta particle emissions (E_{max} = 808 keV) which is desirable for treatment, and range of about 3.0 mm in tissue has potential for targeted tumor radiotherapy (9). It emits medium-energy gamma photon (103 keV) in addition to particle emissions which make it suitable for monitoring the therapy with imaging, and for continuous follow-up of the absorbed dose distribution (5).

The use of targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) for the treatment of cancer necessitates the development of dosing schedules that minimize the exposure of healthy tissues to radiation while maximizing the radiation dose received by the tumor (10). The aim in targeted radiotherapy is the selective delivery of radiation to cancer cells in a way that causes minimal toxicity to surrounding normal tissues. The basis for successful radionuclide therapy is selective concentration and prolonged retention of the radiopharmaceutical within the tumor (11). Maltol (3-Hydroxy-2-methyl-4-pyron) is commonly formed when sugars are heated. Maltol loses its hydroxyl proton at neutral to basic pH levels, forming the maltolate anion; this anionic molecule forms a strong bidentate/tridentate chelate with gallium, iron, zinc, aluminum, vanadium and many other metals (12). Most of maltolate metal complexes (e.g. Gallium-maltolate (13) and 177Lu-Maltolate (12)) are reported as biologically active compounds. Some of maltolate metal complexes are reported as biologically active compounds for lymphoma treatment (15) and apoptotic cell death (16).

Treatment with radiopharmaceuticals may result in abnormalities in other organs so it is important to estimate organ doses (17). Dosimetry represents meanwhile a precious guide for the selection of radionuclides as well as for therapy optimization (18). There exist various methods to estimate internal doses and new studies promises new perspective to come (19). Internal Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) and Medical Internal Radiation Dose (MIRD) methodologies are the most commonly used internal dosimetry methods. The various ICRP and MIRD internal dosimetry models are similar in terms of their assumptions and defining equations. This similarity is obscured by differing terminology and notation, and these differences contribute to confusion in understanding these models (20).

The Committee on Medical Internal Radiation Dose of the Society of Nuclear Medicine developed a methodology to perform radiation absorbed dose calculations. These calculations are performed to assess the risks associated with the administration of radiopharmaceuticals for medical studies including imaging, therapy, and metabolic applications. The MIRD technique is a computational methodology that facilitates absorbed dose calculations to specified target organs from radioactive decays that occur in source organs. The source organs contain the radioactive material, and the target is the organ in which the dose is calculated. The target and source organs can be the same tissue. In subsequent discussion, the terms tissue and organ are used interchangeably. To specify the MIRD methodology, it is necessary to define several terms which detailed descriptions were presented in methods.

In this investigation, a precise description of organ distribution has been used owing to a large amount of distribution data (source organs in dosimetry calculations); efforts were taken to estimate the effective radiation dose absorbed into human organs following intra vascular administration of 153Sm-Mal by using the distribution data for normal rats.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Samarium-153 was produced by neutron irradiation of 1 mg of enriched [152Sm]Sm$_2$O$_3$ (152Sm, 98.7% from ISOTEC Inc.) according to reported procedures (19) in the Tehran Research Reactor at thermal neutron flux of 5×10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ for 5d. 153Sm is produced according to the reaction 152Sm (n,$\gamma$) 153Sm by $\sigma$=206 barns for thermal neutron and disintegrates via 3 main
routes by 100% β emission to levels in $^{153}$Eu. $^{153}$Sm is not a carrier free radioisotope and its specific activity was 22-28 GBq/mg. The irradiation $^{153}$Sm was dissolved in 200 μl of 1.0 M HCl, to prepare [$^{153}$Sm]$^{3+}$SmCl and diluted to the appropriate volume with ultra pure water, to produce a stock solution.

Chromatography paper (Whatman No. 2) was obtained from Whatman (Maidstone, UK). Radio-chromatography was performed using a Bioscan AR-2000 radio TLC scanner (Bioscan, Paris, France). A high purity germanium (HPGe) detector coupled with a Canberra™ (model GC1020-7500SL) multichannel analyzer and a dose calibrator ISOMED 1010 (Dresden, Germany) were used for counting distributed activities in rat organs. All other chemical reagents were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Calculations were based on the 103 keV peak for $^{153}$Sm. All values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (Mean±SD) and the data were compared using Student’s t-test. Statistical significance was defined as P value less than 0.05.

Preparation of $^{153}$Sm-Mal

The labeling was developed in ethanolic media. Briefly, $^{153}$SmCl$_3$ (111 MBq, 0.1 ml) was added to a borosilicate vial and dried by warming (50°C) under a nitrogen flow for about 15 minutes. Then, maltol (30mg, 0.25 mmol) dissolved in absolute ethanol (1 ml) was added to the dried residue and the mixture agitated and incubated at 60°C for 2 hours. The radiochemical purity (21) of free samarium and Sm-MAL were determined by counting Whatman No.2 sheets as stationary phase using various mobile phases (A: ammonia:water:methanol (2:40:20), B: 1mM DTPA aqueous solution, C: %10 ammonium acetate:methanol system, 1:1). After obtaining the desired radiochemical purity, the ethanolic solution was concentrated by warming 40-50°C to 0.05 ml and then diluted to a 5% solution by adding 1 ml of normal saline.

Biodistribution studies of radiopharmaceutical

The distribution of $^{153}$Sm-Mal among tissues was determined for untreated rats. Five male rats each were sacrificed by CO$_2$ asphyxiation (after anesthesia induction using propofol/xylazine mixture) at 2, 4, 24, 28 and 96 h (3 rats in each time) after injection of 4.80±0.18 MBq of final complex through their tail vein and exsanguinated, and the tissues (blood, heart, lung, spleen, intestine, kidneys, liver, muscle and bone) were rapidly removed. During the entire study, autoclaved food and drinking water were available ad libitum. Animal studies were carried out in accordance with the UK Biological Council’s Guidelines of the Use of Living Animals in Scientific Investigations, 2nd edition (22) (approved by Iranian Ministry of Health and Medical Education).

Activity measurement

The activity in the syringes was measured before the injection and then after administration of the radiopharmaceutical products with the well-type ionization chamber (CRC-15R, Capintec, Ramsey, New Jersey, USA), all measurements were background subtracted and then averaged together. Uncertainties in the determinations were minimal, because each assay collected at least 1000 count.

For each of these measurements, three samples were weighed and then counted by HPGe detector to determine the percentage of injected activity per gram (%IA/g) (which was equivalent to the percentage of injected activity per gram %IA/gr ≡ Percentage injected dose per gram %ID/gr); all the organ activity measurements were normalized to injected activity. All samples were background-subtracted and nondecay-corrected to the time of killing, and then similar samples were averaged together.

To convert the counts to activity, following formula was used:

$$\text{Activity (Bq)} = \frac{\text{Area}}{1 \times \text{Eff} \times \text{Br}} \quad (1)$$

Where the “area” term is the number of counts in the selected window (in the confined energy) and the t represents the counting time in this study; it was 100s and the term "Eff" is the detector efficiency (in the selected energy) were given from the detector manual and the term
“Br” is defined as the decay yield of the selected gamma energy.

The $^{153}\text{Sm}$ activity concentration at time $t$, $C_{\text{tissue}}(t)$ was then calculated the percentage of injected activity per gram of tissue ($\%\text{IA/g}$) with equation (2):

$$C_{\text{tissue}}(t) = \frac{A_{\text{tissue}}(t)}{M_{\text{tissue}}} \times 100$$ (2)

Where $A_{\text{tissue}}(t)$ is the $^{153}\text{Sm}$ activity in the sample, $M_{\text{tissue}}$ is the mass of the sample and $A_{\text{total}}$ is the total activity of $^{153}\text{Sm}$ injected into the rat.

**Estimate the human absorbed dose from rat data**

To extrapolate biokinetic data from animals to humans different methods have been previously used (23). The proposed method of Sparks and Aydogan (24) on the basis of percent injected dose (or injected activity) per gram ($\%\text{ID/}\text{gr}$) unit was used as follows:

$$\%\text{ID}_{\text{human}} = \frac{\%\text{ID}_{\text{rat}} \times \text{OrganMass}_{\text{human}}}{\text{OrganMass}_{\text{rat}}}$$ (3)

The mean weight of the organs harvested from the animals and standard weights established for the adult male human organs (25-27) was used. The rat’s organ masses and the ration of organ mass to body mass in humans and in rats are shown in table 1 according to Peters and Boyd (28).

**Table 1.** The rat’s organ masses and the ratio of organ mass to body mass in human and in rat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissues</th>
<th>Rat (%)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Human(^*) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>80.16</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) Human data according to ICRP 22 (22).

**Dosimetric calculations**

For each group of rats, the non-decay corrected time activity curves were generated for the source organs including the followings: the lung, kidney, spleen, liver, a trabecular bone and blood.

The distribution data were plotted out, and the points were fitted to a single exponential equation by the least-squares linear regression method from radioactivity from individual organs, fitted a mono-exponential curve.

The non-decay-corrected time-activity curves were extrapolated to infinity by fitting the tail of each curve to a mono-exponential and integrated, resulting in a concentration integral for each organ. The data points representing the percentage-injected dose ($\%\text{ID/organ}$) were created and fitted to a mono-exponential, a bi-exponential or an uptake-and-clearance curve. The rat data were assumed to approximate the biokinetic parameters in the human.

The use of tables presented in the Medical Internal Radiation Dose (MIRD) No. 11 (29) which are used to compute the absorbed dose, it is necessary to calculate the cumulated source activity ($\tilde{A}_k$) in each organ according to the equation (4):

$$\tilde{A}_k = \int A_k(t) dt$$ (4)

The liver, kidneys, blood, spleen, lung, stomach, Lower large Intestine, colon, muscle, heart, sternum and trabecular bone were used as the primary source organs. The MIRD formulation was applied to calculate the absorbed radiation dose for various organs (30).

$$\tilde{D}_{(\text{org})} = \sum_k \tilde{A}_k S(k \leftarrow r_k)$$ (5)

Where the mean absorbed dose $\tilde{D}_{(\text{org})}$ stated in (mGy) to a target organ $r_k$ from a radiopharmaceutical distributed identically in a source organ $r_h$ has been formulated by the MIRD committee. The $S(r_k \leftarrow r_h)$ expressed in (mGy/MBq\(^{-1}\)) represented the specific absorbed fraction of energy for the target organ $r_k$ for particles emitted in the source organ $r_h$ (31).
**Radiation-absorbed dose calculation**

Methods compatible with those recommended by the MIRD Committee of the Society of Nuclear Medicine were used to determine the absorbed doses to the human normal organs and the whole body \(^{(32-33)}\).

**RESULTS**

Table 2 demonstrated the accumulation of \(^{153}\)Sm-Mal in the major organs. In addition the clearance curves from each organ of the rats shown in figure 1. The results showed that most of the activity was accumulated in the liver. The absorption of the colon, Lower large Intestine, muscle and stomach was absolutely negligible, and it was very interesting because the samarium-153 chelates e.g. \(^{153}\)Sm-EDTMP, \(^{153}\)Sm-NTMP, \(^{153}\)Sm-HEEDTMP, \(^{153}\)Sm-NBTP and \(^{153}\)Sm-NTA major absorption were in the skeletal system \(^{(34)}\), but in complex \(^{153}\)Sm-Mal the major absorption was in the liver. It means that the absorption of new complex is completely different from other samarium-153 chelates that are potential therapeutic bone agents.

The radiation absorbed organ doses estimated in humans according to the biological data from the rat study are shown in table 3.

### Table 2. Distribution of \(^{153}\)Sm-Mal in different time points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organs</th>
<th>2 h</th>
<th>4 h</th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>48 h</th>
<th>96h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>1.0969 ± 0.125</td>
<td>0.8861 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.1543 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.0607 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>0.6494 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.0694 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.1351 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.2426 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.1163 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>0.5423 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.5717 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.2018 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.0980 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.0433 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>0.0874 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.1846 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0754 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0175 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0019 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0335 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.1152 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0273 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0249 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0103 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>0.1446 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.1599 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.0517 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.0042 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0004 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>4.6164 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.1051 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.2293 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.8590 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.2439 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>1.0166 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.3231 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.5545 ± 0.47</td>
<td>0.1482 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>0.8232 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.7729 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.7591 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.5676 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>0.0753 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0261 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0842 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.0446 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01962 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternum</td>
<td>0.1938 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.2968 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.1601 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.6909 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.304 ± 0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thigh Bone</td>
<td>0.4438 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.5590 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.7005 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.0896 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.0012 ± 0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data were presented as the percentage of administrated activity per gram (non-decay corrected).

### Table 3. The Human absorbed dose estimation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organs</th>
<th>Absorbed dose (mGy/MBq)*</th>
<th>Absorbed dose (mGy)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenals</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
<td>3.0340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder wall</td>
<td>0.00696</td>
<td>1.2876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>22.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>0.00723</td>
<td>1.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breasts</td>
<td>0.00816</td>
<td>1.5096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach wall</td>
<td>0.01003</td>
<td>1.8555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart wall</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>4.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidneys</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>45.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>176.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lungs</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>68.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovaries</td>
<td>0.00779</td>
<td>1.4411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>2.8305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red marrow</td>
<td>0.0996</td>
<td>18.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>0.00711</td>
<td>1.3153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>66.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testes</td>
<td>0.00669</td>
<td>1.2376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>0.00721</td>
<td>1.3338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterus</td>
<td>0.00784</td>
<td>1.4504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body</td>
<td>0.3483</td>
<td>64.4355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Might had some over/under estimation according to table 3.
** 185 MBq Injected Activity
DISCUSSION

In rat studies, the tracer was mostly accumulated in the liver, which was the major organ of accumulation throughout the study as shown in table 2. The liver to blood activity concentration ratio was about 20 at 24 h and up to 14 at 48 h post-injection. The livers to muscle ratios were more than 30 at 24 and about 20 at 48 h post-injection.

There are four methods using animal data to predict human residence times: first, no extrapolation assumes that the percentage of injected activity (%IA ≡ %ID) at any time in the human organ is the same as in the animal organ. Second, relative organ mass extrapolation study was carried out, third using physiological time extrapolation and fourth, using a combination of the mass and time methods by applying both the mass and physiological time extrapolations to the animal data (24).

The amount of the radiation-absorbed dose to a human was calculated by determining the distributions in rats, obtaining a cumulated activity value, multiplying by the ratio of organs to extrapolate to humans (using relative organ mass extrapolation) and then multiplying the converted rats’ cumulative activity to the S factor table of $^{153}$Sm (35-36). For humans, the highest radiation-absorbed doses per unit-administered activity were calculated for liver (0.953 mGy/MBq), lungs (0.361 mGy/MBq) and spleen (0.368 mGy/MBq). The absorbed doses to the kidneyes, bone and red marrow were less than 0.2 mGy per MBq. The total body dose was estimated to be 0.348 mGy/MBq as shown in table 3. The discrepancy between different animal models is important if absorbed dose estimations for humans are derived from animal models. Obviously the distribution of a radiopharmaceutical parameter varies not only from rodents to humans, but also between rats and mice (37-39).

Extrapolation between various animal species is more reliable than extrapolation between animals and humans (24), but previous studies have demonstrated the usefulness of using animal distribution as a model for absorbed dose estimations in humans (40-43).
Using physiological time extrapolation improves the mean result. However, there appears to be some increase in severe overestimates (more than 10-fold) with the time extrapolation method (24). Using the physiological time extrapolation is not valid for this study because one cannot estimate the exact value resident time. Therefore, for this study using relative organ mass extrapolation is more precise than physiological time extrapolation.

New therapeutic radiopharmaceutical significantly accumulated in liver and increased after administration for 4 h. Blood and heart uptake showed their maximum at first 2 h and then decreased as shown in figure 1.

CONCLUSION

Radiation dosimetry for $^{153}$Sm-Mal was estimated for humans based on distribution data of $^{153}$Sm-Mal in normal rats. The distribution of $^{153}$Sm-Mal in rats demonstrated significant liver uptake and low muscle and blood uptake; however, the kidney uptake is somewhat high. The hypothesis for high uptake of the kidney is excretion of the complex by the urinary system 2 h post-injection till 48 h post-injection.

Although further dosimetry work should be performed on humans as this compound become useful in the clinic, these estimates can be used to predict potential absorbed doses in humans and for planning human studies.
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